

## NOTES ON THE ZARISKI TANGENT SPACE

SAM EVENS

Let  $X$  be an affine algebraic set. We sketch definitions and basic properties of vector fields and tangent spaces at points of  $X$ .

For perspective, recall how we define the tangent space of a differentiable manifold  $M$ . We cover  $M$  by open neighborhoods  $U_i$  which are identified with  $\mathbb{R}^n$ , and then we transfer our understanding of the tangent space at a point of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to define the tangent space at a point in  $U_i$ . This can be shown to be independent of choices. This approach is not a good idea for an affine algebraic set  $X$  because  $X$  does not have an open cover by Zariski open sets that are identified with an open set in some  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . First of all, if this were the case, then  $X$  would be smooth, so we would miss information about singularities, but secondly even smooth affine varieties are not necessarily locally isomorphic to some  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

We first discuss vector fields.

**Remark 0.1.** *Let  $R$  be a finitely generated  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra and let  $M$  be a  $R$ -module. A  $M$ -valued derivation of  $R$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -linear map  $D : R \rightarrow M$  such that the Leibniz rule,  $D(fg) = fD(g) + gD(f)$  for all  $f, g \in R$ , is satisfied.*

Note that it follows from definitions that  $D(a) = 0$  if  $a \in \mathbb{C}$  is a constant.

**Remark 0.2.** *Let  $X$  be an affine set. By definition, a vector field on  $X$  is a  $\mathbb{C}[X]$ -valued derivation of  $\mathbb{C}[X]$ .*

We compute vector fields on  $X = \mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $R = \mathbb{C}[X] = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ . Let  $D : R \rightarrow R$  be a  $R$ -valued derivation. Then it follows from the Leibniz rule that  $D$  is determined by  $D(x_i)$ . Consider the operator  $\xi_D : R \rightarrow R$  given by  $\xi_D = \sum_{i=1}^n D(x_i)\partial_i$ , where  $\partial_i$  is partial differentiation with respect to  $x_i$ . It is easy to check that  $\xi_D = D$ . In particular, every derivation is an expression of the form  $\sum a_i\partial_i$  with  $a_i \in R$ , so vector fields on  $X$  are just ordinary vector fields with polynomial coefficients.

Note that a vector field on  $X$  is determined by its values on the generators of  $\mathbb{C}[X]$ .

**Remark 0.3.** *EXERCISE 1 Compute the vector fields on  $V(y^2 - x^3)$ . Show that they are all of the form  $\xi_{a,b} = a\partial_x + b\partial_y$  where  $a, b \in \mathbb{C}[x, y]/(y^2 - x^3)$ . What conditions must  $a$  and  $b$  satisfy for  $\xi_{a,b}$  to be a vector field. Compute the vector fields on  $V(y - x^3)$ . Show that they are the same as vector fields on  $\mathbb{C}$ .*

In ordinary calculus, we can obtain tangent vectors at a point in  $\mathbb{R}^n$  by specializing vector fields at that point. For example, the vector field  $e^x\partial_x + \sin(y)\partial_y$  specializes to the

tangent vector  $\partial_x$  by evaluating at  $(0,0)$ . We can do the same thing with our definition of vector fields as follows.

Let  $R = \mathbb{C}[X]$  and let  $D : R \rightarrow R$  be a  $R$ -valued derivation and let  $\alpha \in X$  be a point. Identify  $R/\mathfrak{m}_\alpha \cong \mathbb{C}$  via  $f + \mathfrak{m}_\alpha \mapsto f(\alpha)$ . Then we obtain a  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued derivation  $D_\alpha : R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by the formula  $D_\alpha(f) = (D(f))(\alpha)$ . Then it is easy to check that  $D_\alpha$  is a  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued derivation and if  $f, g \in R$ , then  $D_\alpha(fg) = f(\alpha)D_\alpha(g) + g(\alpha)D_\alpha(f)$ . Since in ordinary calculus, all tangent vectors arise by specialization of vector fields, it is somewhat natural to define the Zariski tangent space as follows.

**Remark 0.4.** *If  $\alpha \in X$ , then the Zariski tangent space  $T_\alpha(X)$  to  $X$  at  $\alpha$  is the set of all  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued derivations  $D$  of  $R$  such that  $D(fg) = f(\alpha)D(g) + g(\alpha)D(f)$  for all  $f, g \in R$ . A  $\mathbb{C}$ -valued derivation of  $R$  as above is then called a tangent vector at  $\alpha$ .*

It is easy to see that  $T_\alpha(X)$  is a complex vector space under addition of derivations. It is also not difficult to show that a tangent vector  $D$  is determined by its value on generators of  $\mathbb{C}[X]$ . Given this, the reader can easily show that  $T_\alpha(\mathbb{C}^n)$  is the  $\mathbb{C}$ -span of  $\partial_{j,\alpha}$ , where  $\partial_{j,\alpha}(f) = (\partial_j(f))(\alpha)$ .

We use this to identify  $T_\alpha(\mathbb{C}^n) \cong \mathbb{C}^n$ , by letting  $(b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n$  correspond to  $b_1\partial_{1,\alpha} + \dots + b_n\partial_{n,\alpha}$ .

There is an alternative definition of the Zariski tangent space at a point  $\alpha$  which emphasizes more the role of maximal ideals. Let  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_\alpha$  be the maximal ideal of  $\alpha$ . Then  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$  is naturally a  $R$ -module with trivial  $\mathfrak{m}$ -action and hence is a  $\mathbb{C} \cong R/\mathfrak{m}$  vector space. Since  $R$  is Noetherian,  $\mathfrak{m}$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module, and hence its quotient  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module with trivial  $\mathfrak{m}$ -action. Thus,  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$  is a finitely generated  $R/\mathfrak{m} = \mathbb{C}$ -module, so  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$  is a finite dimensional complex vector space.

**Remark 0.5.** *The Zariski cotangent space  $T_\alpha^*(X)$  is the finite dimensional  $\mathbb{C}$ -vector space  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ .*

For  $f \in R$ , let  $df := df(\alpha) := f - f(\alpha) + (\mathfrak{m}^2) \in \mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ . It follows from an easy calculation that  $d(fg)(\alpha) = f(\alpha)dg(\alpha) + g(\alpha)df(\alpha)$ . Further, if  $X = \mathbb{C}^n$ , then  $df = \sum_{i=1}^n \partial_i(f)(\alpha)dx_i$ . This is the usual formula for the de Rham differential in calculus, and it can be checked easily for monomials and follows in general by linearity.

Further, note that  $dx_i(\partial_j) = \partial_j(x_i + \mathfrak{m}^2)(\alpha) = \delta_{ij}$ .

We would like the cotangent space to be the linear dual of the tangent space. This follows from the following result.

**Proposition 0.6.** *The linear dual  $(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)^* \cong T_\alpha(X)$ . In particular,  $T_\alpha(X)$  is a finite dimensional vector space.*

**Proof:** To prove this, identify  $\mathbb{C}$  with constant functions on  $X$ . Then  $R = \mathbb{C}[X] = \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathfrak{m}$  as vector spaces. Define a map  $\chi : T_\alpha(X) \rightarrow (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)^*$  by  $\chi(D)(f) = D(f)$ . To check  $\chi(D)$  is well-defined, note that if  $f, g \in \mathfrak{m}$ , then  $\chi_D(f \cdot g) = f(\alpha)D(g) + g(\alpha)D(f) = 0$  since  $f, g \in \mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_\alpha$ . It follows from definitions that  $\chi_D(\mathfrak{m}^2) = 0$ . Conversely, if  $\eta \in (\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)^*$ ,

we may regard  $\eta$  as a linear map  $\eta : \mathfrak{m} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  such that  $\eta(\mathfrak{m}^2) = 0$ . Define  $D_\eta : R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by setting  $D_\eta(c) = 0$  if  $c \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $D_\eta(f) = \eta(f)$  for  $f \in \mathfrak{m}$ . This defines  $D_\eta$  uniquely, and the reader can check that  $D_\eta$  is a derivation. It is not difficult to check that  $\chi$  and  $\eta \mapsto D_\eta$  are inverses, which completes the proof of the Proposition.

**Q.E.D.**

Using this result, we can show that the tangent space can be computed using a neighborhood of a point.

**Lemma 0.7.** *Let  $X$  be an affine algebraic set and let  $U \subset X$  be a principal open set and consider a point  $\alpha \in U$ . Then  $T_\alpha(U) \cong T_\alpha(X)$ .*

**Proof :** Let  $\mathfrak{m} = \mathfrak{m}_\alpha \in \mathbb{C}[X]$  be the maximal ideal of functions on  $X$  vanishing at  $\alpha$ . Let  $U = X_f$  and let  $S = (f^k)$ . Then  $\mathfrak{n} := S^{-1}\mathfrak{m}$  is the maximal ideal of functions in  $\mathbb{C}[U]$  vanishing at  $\alpha$ . By Proposition 0.6, it suffices to prove that  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \cong \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2$ . For this, verify the following easy fact: let  $R$  be a ring and let  $M$  be a  $R$ -module, and let  $S \subset R$  be a multiplicative set such that for all  $s \in S$ , the map  $l_s : M \rightarrow M, x \mapsto s \cdot x$  is a  $R$ -module isomorphism. Then it follows from definitions that the canonical map  $M \rightarrow S^{-1}M$  given by  $x \mapsto \frac{x}{1}$  is an isomorphism of  $R$ -modules. Since  $\alpha \in X_f$ ,  $f(\alpha) \neq 0$ , so  $f \notin \mathfrak{m}$ . It follows that  $f + \mathfrak{m}$  is nonzero in the field  $A/\mathfrak{m}$ , and  $l_f$  acts as an isomorphism on the  $A$ -module  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$ , since  $A$  acts on  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$  through its quotient  $A/\mathfrak{m}$ . Thus the above easy fact applies to give  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \cong S^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2)$ . But  $S^{-1}(\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2) \cong (S^{-1}\mathfrak{m})/(S^{-1}\mathfrak{m})^2$  by exactness of localization. Hence  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \cong \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2$ , which completes the proof.

**Q.E.D.**

It is useful to define the tangent space for an affine algebraic set  $X = V(I) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ . As a set,

$TX = \{(\alpha, D) : \alpha \in X, D \in T_\alpha(X)\}$ , and we would like to give  $TX$  the structure of an affine algebraic set. For this, it is convenient to introduce an auxiliary ring.

**Remark 0.8.** *The ring of dual numbers is the ring  $\mathbb{C}[t]/(t^2)$ . We let  $\delta = t + (t^2)$ , so the ring of dual numbers is*

$$\mathbb{C}[\delta] = \{a + b\delta : a, b \in \mathbb{C}, \delta^2 = 0\}.$$

Define  $p : \mathbb{C}[\delta] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $p(a + b\delta) = a$ . It is routine to check that  $p$  is an algebra homomorphism.

**Lemma 0.9.** *Let  $R = \mathbb{C}[X]$  be the ring of functions on an affine algebraic set  $X \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  and let  $I = I(X)$ . There is a bijection  $\eta : \text{Hom}_{\text{alg}}(R, \mathbb{C}[\delta]) \rightarrow T(X)$  between the collection of algebra homomorphisms from  $R$  to the ring of dual numbers and the tangent space.*

**Proof :** If  $\phi : R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\delta]$  is an algebra homomorphism, let  $\phi(f) = a(f) + b(f)\delta$ . Then  $f \mapsto p_1 \circ \phi(f) = a(f)$  is an algebra homomorphism, so there is a maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}_\alpha$  with  $\alpha \in X$  such that  $\mathfrak{m}_\alpha$  is the kernel of  $f \mapsto a(f)$ . The reader can check that  $f \mapsto D(f) :=$

$b(f)$  is a derivation and we define  $\eta$  by the formula  $\eta(\phi) := (\alpha, D) \in T(X)$ . Conversely, if  $(\alpha, D) \in T(X)$ , define  $\phi_{\alpha, D} : R \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\delta]$  by the formula  $\phi_{\alpha, D}(f) = f(\alpha) + D(f)\delta$ . It is routine to check that  $\phi$  is an algebra homomorphism, and that  $\phi \mapsto \eta(\phi)$  and  $(\alpha, D) \mapsto \phi_{\alpha, D}$  are inverse equivalences. This completes the proof of the Lemma.

### Q.E.D.

Let  $A = \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n] = \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{C}^n]$ . Let  $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\delta]$  be an algebra homomorphism. Then  $\phi(x_i) = a_i(\phi) + b_i(\phi)\delta$  for some  $a_i(\phi), b_i(\phi) \in \mathbb{C}$ . Since  $\phi$  is determined by its value on generators, it is routine to check that the map

$\psi : \text{Hom}_{\text{alg}}(A, \mathbb{C}[\delta]) \rightarrow T(\mathbb{C}^n) = \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$ ,  $\psi(\phi) = (a_1(\phi), \dots, a_n(\phi); b_1(\phi), \dots, b_n(\phi))$  is bijective. We treat this bijection as an identification, and use it to regard  $\text{Hom}_{\text{alg}}(A, \mathbb{C}[\delta])$  as the algebraic variety  $\mathbb{C}^{2n} = \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$ .

**Proposition 0.10.** *Let  $X$  be an affine algebraic set and let  $R = \mathbb{C}[X] = A/I$  as above. Use Lemma 0.9 to identify  $T(X) = \text{Hom}_{\text{alg}}(R, \mathbb{C}[\delta])$ . Identify  $\text{Hom}_{\text{alg}}(R, \mathbb{C}[\delta]) = \{\phi \in T(\mathbb{C}^n) : \phi(I) = 0\}$ . Then under these identifications,  $T(X)$  is a closed algebraic set in  $T(\mathbb{C}^n) = \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$ . Further, the map  $p : T(X) \rightarrow X$  given by  $p((\alpha, D)) = \alpha$  and the map  $i : X \rightarrow T(X)$  given by  $i(\alpha) = (\alpha, 0)$  are both morphisms.*

**Proof :** To prove this, note that  $\text{Hom}_{\text{alg}}(R, \mathbb{C}[\delta]) = \{\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\text{alg}}(A, \mathbb{C}[\delta]) : \phi(I) = 0\}$ , by the universal property of quotient rings. Further, note that if  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\text{alg}}(A, \mathbb{C}[\delta])$ , and  $I = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$ , then  $\phi(I) = 0$  if and only if  $\phi(f_1) = \dots = \phi(f_r) = 0$ . Thus, if  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\text{alg}}(A, \mathbb{C}[\delta])$ ,  $\phi \in T(X)$  if and only if  $\phi(f_j) = 0$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, r$ .

We have identified  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\text{alg}}(A, \mathbb{C}[\delta])$  as a point of  $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$  via the map

$\phi \mapsto (a_1, \dots, a_n; b_1, \dots, b_n)$ , where  $\phi(x_i) = a_i + b_i\delta$ . Let  $f_j = \sum c_E x_1^{e_1} \dots x_n^{e_n}$ , where  $E = (e_1, \dots, e_n)$  runs through collections of nonnegative integers. Then

$\phi(f_j) = \sum c_E (a_1 + b_1\delta)^{e_1} \dots (a_n + b_n\delta)^{e_n} = r_j + s_j\delta$  for some  $r_j, s_j \in \mathbb{C}$ . We compute  $r_j$  and  $s_j$  by using the formula  $(a + b\delta)^k = a^k + ka^{k-1}b\delta$ . It follows that  $\phi(f_j) = 0$  if and only if  $r_j = s_j = 0$ .

The constant coefficient  $r_j$  is:

$$A(j): \sum_E c_E a_1^{e_1} \dots a_n^{e_n} = 0, \text{ and } r_j = 0 \text{ if and only if the point } (a_1, \dots, a_n) \in V(f_j).$$

The  $\delta$  coefficient  $s_j$  is:

$$B(j): \sum_E c_E \sum_{i=1}^n a_1^{e_1} \dots \eta(a_i^{e_i}) a_n^{e_n}, \text{ where } \eta(a_i^{e_i}) := e_i a_i^{e_i-1}.$$

Since a point  $(a_1, \dots, a_n; b_1, \dots, b_n)$  attached to a homomorphism  $\phi : A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\delta]$  corresponds to a tangent vector in  $T(X)$  if and only if  $\phi(f_j) = 0$  for all  $j = 1, \dots, r$ , it follows that we may identify

$T(X)$  with the set of points  $(a_1, \dots, a_n; b_1, \dots, b_n) \in \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$  where the polynomial identities  $A(j)$  and  $B(j)$  are satisfied for  $j = 1, \dots, r$ . In particular,  $T(X)$  may be identified with an affine algebraic subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n$ , which establishes the first part of the proposition.

For the remainder, note that the map  $\tilde{p} : T(\mathbb{C}^n) = \mathbb{C}^n \times \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^n$  given by  $\tilde{p}(\alpha, D) = \alpha$  is a morphism, and  $p$  is the restriction of  $\tilde{p}$  to  $T(X)$ , so  $p$  is a morphism. A similar argument shows that  $i$  is a morphism.

**Q.E.D.**

**Corollary 0.11.** (OF LAST PROOF) Let  $X = V(I) \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  where  $I = (f_1, \dots, f_r)$ . Then

$$T_\alpha(X) = \left\{ D = \sum_{j=1}^n b_j \partial_j \in T_\alpha(\mathbb{C}^n) : df_k(D) = \sum_{j=1}^n \partial_j(f_k)(\alpha) \cdot b_j = 0, k = 1, \dots, r \right\}.$$

**Proof :** Indeed,  $D \in T_\alpha(X)$  if and only if  $(\alpha, D) \in T(X)$ , which is true if and only if  $D$  satisfies the equations  $B(k)$  for  $k = 1, \dots, r$  with  $\alpha = (a_1, \dots, a_n)$ . It is easy to check that the equation  $B(k)$  is equivalent to the condition that  $df_k(D) = 0$ .

**Q.E.D.**

It is useful to think about these result in a simple example. Let  $X = V(y^2 - x^3)$ , where  $x = x_1$  and  $y = x_2$  as usual. The Proposition identifies  $T(X)$  with the set of points  $\{(a_1, a_2; b_1, b_2)\}$  satisfying the identities

$$a_2^2 = a_1^3, \text{ and } 2a_2b_2 = 3a_1^2b_1.$$

**Remark 0.12.** Even if  $X$  is an affine variety,  $T(X)$  need not be an affine variety.

**Remark 0.13.** EXERCISE T Let  $X = V(y^2 - x^3) \subset \mathbb{C}^2$ . Show that  $T(X)$  is not an irreducible affine algebraic subset of  $\mathbb{C}^4$ . In particular, show  $T_{(0,0)}(X)$  is an irreducible component of  $T(X)$ . Find another irreducible component of  $T(X)$ .

**Remark 0.14.** (EXERCISE 2) Compute the tangent space to  $V(x^2 - yz)$  at  $(0, 0, 0)$  in  $\mathbb{C}^3$ . What is its dimension? Compute the tangent space at any point  $\alpha \in V(x^2 - yz)$  besides  $(0, 0, 0)$ . What is its dimension?

**Remark 0.15.** Let  $X$  be an affine variety and let  $\alpha \in X$ . We will show that  $\dim(T_\alpha(X)) \geq \dim(X)$ .  $\alpha$  is called a smooth point of  $X$  if  $\dim(T_\alpha(X)) = \dim(X)$ , and  $\alpha$  is called a singular point of  $X$  if  $\dim(T_\alpha(X)) > \dim(X)$ . An affine variety  $X$  is called smooth or nonsingular if all of its points are smooth, and otherwise is called singular. If  $X$  is an affine algebraic set, we may say  $X$  is smooth if its irreducible components are its connected components and each irreducible component is smooth. Otherwise, we say  $X$  is singular. The intuition is that on the affine algebraic set  $V(xy)$  which is one-dimensional, the tangent space at  $(0, 0)$  is two dimensional since  $\partial_x$  and  $\partial_y$  evaluate at  $(0, 0)$  to give linearly independent derivations. Thus, the Zariski tangent space is bigger than we would expect for a smooth variety of dimension 1, so  $V(xy)$  is singular at  $(0, 0)$ . In general, if  $X_1, X_2$  are two irreducible components of an affine algebraic set  $X$  which meet at a point  $\alpha$ , then  $X$  should be singular at  $\alpha$  since there are tangent vectors tangent to  $X_2$  but not to  $X_1$  and vice versa, so the Zariski tangent space is too big.

**Remark 0.16.** Let  $U \subset X$  be an affine open subset of an affine variety  $X$  and let  $\alpha \in U$ . Then by Lemma 0.7,  $\alpha$  is a smooth point of  $U$  if and only if  $\alpha$  is a smooth point of  $X$ .

**Remark 0.17.** Let  $X$  be an affine variety and let  $S$  be the set of singular points of  $X$ , and denote by  $X_r := X - S$ . We will prove that  $S$  is a closed subset of  $X$  and  $X_r$  is an open, dense subset of  $X$ . To prove these assertions, we will proceed as follows:

- (1) Prove the assertions for an irreducible hypersurface of affine space  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .
- (2) Use upper semi-continuity of dimension to prove that  $S$  is closed.
- (3) Let  $\dim(X) = d$ . We show there exists a birational morphism  $\phi : X \rightarrow V(f)$ , where  $V(f) \subset \mathbb{C}^{d+1}$  is the zero set of an irreducible polynomial.
- (4) Show that a morphism of varieties induces a morphism of tangent spaces with good properties.
- (5) Use invariance properties of tangent space under an isomorphism and (3) to show that the special case (1) implies the assertion in general.

We begin with step (1).

**Proposition 0.18.** Let  $f \in \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n] = \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{C}^n]$  be irreducible and let  $Y = V(f)$  be the corresponding affine variety. Then the singular set  $S$  of  $Y$  is a proper closed subset.

**Proof :** Since  $f$  is irreducible, it follows easily that  $(f)$  is a prime ideal of  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_n]$ , so  $Y$  is irreducible. Further,  $\dim(Y) = n - 1$  by Theorem 0.8 of the notes on fiber dimension. By Corollary 0.11 of these notes, if  $\alpha \in Y$ , then

$$T_\alpha(Y) = \left\{ \sum a_i \partial_i \in T_\alpha(\mathbb{C}^n) : \sum a_i \partial_i(f)(\alpha) = 0 \right\}.$$

Then either the vector  $df(\alpha) := \partial_1(f)(\alpha)dx_1 + \dots + \partial_n(f)(\alpha)dx_n = 0$  or it is nonzero, and the tangent space  $T_\alpha(Y)$  is the subspace annihilated by  $df(\alpha)$ . If it is zero, then  $T_\alpha(Y)$  is  $n$ -dimensional so  $\alpha$  is a singular point. If it is nonzero, then  $T_\alpha(Y)$  is  $n - 1$ -dimensional, so  $\alpha$  is a smooth point. It follows that  $S = \bigcap_{i=1}^n V(\partial_i(f))$  is closed.

If every  $\alpha \in Y$  were a singular point, then  $\partial_i(f)(\alpha) = 0$  for all  $\alpha \in Y$  and  $i = 1, \dots, n$ , so by the Nullstellensatz,  $\partial_i(f) \in I(Y) = (f)$ . But the degree of  $\partial_i(f)$  is less than the degree of  $f$  (by convention, degree of zero polynomial is  $-1$ ), so if  $f$  divides  $\partial_i(f)$ , it follows that  $\partial_i(f) = 0$  for all  $i$ , so  $f$  is constant. This contradicts the assumption that  $f$  is irreducible, and completes the proof.

**Q.E.D.**

We now establish a generalization of Step (2).

**Proposition 0.19.** Let  $X$  be an affine variety. Let  $S_k(X) := \{\alpha \in X : \dim(T_\alpha(X)) \geq k\}$ . Then  $S_k(X)$  is closed in  $X$ .

**Proof :** Let  $TX = \bigcup_{i \in I} T_i$  be the decomposition of the affine algebraic set  $TX$  into irreducible components. Let  $p_i : T_i \rightarrow X$  be the restriction of  $p : TX \rightarrow X$  to  $T_i$ . For

$\alpha \in X$ , let  $S_k(p_i)$  be the set of  $(\alpha, D) \in T_i$  such that there is an irreducible component of  $p_i^{-1}(\alpha)$  of dimension at least  $k$ .  $S_k(p_i)$  is the subset of  $T_i$  associated to the morphism  $p_i : T_i \rightarrow X$  in Theorem 0.24 of the notes on fiber dimension.

If  $\alpha \in X$ , then  $T_\alpha(X) = p^{-1}(\alpha) = \cup_{i \in I} p_i^{-1}(\alpha)$  is a vector space. Thus,  $T_\alpha(X)$  is irreducible, so since each  $p_i^{-1}(\alpha)$  is closed,  $T_\alpha(X) = p_i^{-1}(\alpha)$  for some  $i \in I$ . In particular, (\*) There is  $i \in I$  such that  $p_i^{-1}(\alpha)$  is irreducible, and  $\dim(T_\alpha(X))$  is the maximum dimension of the irreducible components of  $p_i^{-1}(\alpha)$  among  $i \in I$ .

Let  $S_k(p) = \cup_{i \in I} S_k(p_i)$ . By (\*),  $S_k(p) = \{(\alpha, D) : \dim(T_\alpha(X)) \geq k\}$ .

Since  $S_k(p_i)$  is closed for each  $i \in I$  by Theorem 0.24 of the notes on fiber dimension, it follows that  $S_k(p)$  is closed. Since  $(\alpha, 0) \in T_\alpha(X)$  for all  $\alpha \in X$ , it follows that  $S_k(X) = i^{-1}(S_k(p))$ , and hence  $S_k(X)$  is closed in  $X$ .

**Q.E.D.**

**Proposition 0.20.** *Let  $Sing(X)$  be the set of singular points of an affine variety  $X$ . Then  $Sing(X)$  is closed.*

**Proof :** By definition,  $Sing(X) = S_{d+1}(X)$ , where  $d = \dim(X)$ . Now apply Proposition 0.19.

**Q.E.D.**

For Step (3), we want to prove that every affine variety is birational to a hypersurface.

For this, we recall some results about unique factorization domains (UFD's) (see Dummit and Foote, 9.3, or Ash, section 2.9). This is standard material, but I didn't find it explained in the literature in the needed form.

Let  $R$  be a unique factorization domain and let  $F = \text{Frac}(R)$  be its fraction field. Let  $m(x) \in F[x]$ . We can write  $m(x) = a_n x^n + \cdots + a_0$  with  $a_i = \frac{b_i}{c_i} \in F$ , where  $b_i, c_i \in R$  and  $a_n \neq 0$ . Let  $d = c_n \cdots c_0$  be the product of the denominators of the coefficients, so  $d \cdot m(x) = m_1(x) \in R[x]$ . For a polynomial  $f(x) \in R[x]$ , let  $c = c(f)$  be the greatest common divisor of its coefficients.  $c$  is called the content of  $f$ . Then  $m_1(x) = c \cdot m_0(x)$  with  $m_0(x) \in R[x]$ . Then  $m_0(x)$  is primitive, i.e., the greatest common divisor of its coefficients is 1. Thus,  $m(x) = \frac{c}{d} m_0(x)$  with  $m_0(x) \in R[x]$ .

**Proposition 0.21.** *(Dummit and Foote, Corollary 6 of 9.3) Let  $f(x) \in R[x]$  be irreducible in  $F[x]$  and also primitive. Then  $f(x)$  is irreducible in  $R[x]$ .*

It follows from definitions that if the polynomial  $m(x)$  is irreducible in  $F[x]$ , then  $m_0(x)$  is irreducible in  $F[x]$ , so by the Proposition,  $m_0(x)$  is irreducible in  $R[x]$ .

**Lemma 0.22.** *Let  $R$  be a UFD with fraction field  $F$  and let  $m(x) \in F[x]$  be irreducible. Then there exists an irreducible polynomial  $m_0(x) \in R[x]$  such that  $R[x]/(m_0(x))$  is an integral domain with fraction field  $F[x]/(m(x)) = F[x]/(m_0(x))$ .*

**Proof :** To prove this, construct  $m_0(x)$  from  $m(x)$  as above, and note that  $m(x)$  and  $m_0(x)$  generate the same ideal in  $F[x]$ . Consider the ring homomorphism  $R[x]/(m_0(x)) \rightarrow F[x]/F[x] \cdot m_0(x)$  induced by the obvious ring homomorphism  $R[x] \rightarrow F[x]$ . Suppose  $p \in R[x]$  and assume  $p \in F[x] \cdot m_0(x)$ . Then  $p = h \cdot m_0(x)$  for some  $h \in F[x]$ . By Gauss's Lemma (see Proposition 5 of 9.3 in [DF]), it follows that  $m_0(x)$  divides  $p$  in  $R[x]$ . Hence  $p + (m_0(x)) = 0$  in  $R[x]/(m_0(x))$ , so the ring homomorphism  $R[x]/(m_0(x)) \rightarrow F[x]/(m_0(x))$  is injective. It follows that  $R[x]/(m_0(x))$  is an integral domain, and it is easy to show its fraction field is  $F[x]/(m_0(x))$ .

**Q.E.D.**

**Remark 0.23.** Let  $\eta : R \rightarrow S$  be an injective homomorphism of integral domains. There is an induced field homomorphism  $\tilde{\eta} : \text{Frac}(R) \rightarrow \text{Frac}(S)$  with the property that  $\tilde{\eta}(\frac{a}{b}) = \frac{\eta(a)}{\eta(b)}$ . We call  $\tilde{\eta}$  the localization of  $\eta$ .

**Lemma 0.24.** Let  $X$  and  $Y$  be affine varieties and suppose there exists a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra isomorphism of the function fields  $\chi : \mathbb{C}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(X)$ . Then there exists  $c \in \mathbb{C}[X]$  and a birational morphism  $\phi : X_c \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\phi^* : \mathbb{C}[Y] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X_c]$  extends under localization to  $\chi : \mathbb{C}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(X) = \text{Frac}(\mathbb{C}[X_c])$ .

**Proof :** Since  $\mathbb{C}[Y]$  and  $\mathbb{C}[X]$  are integral domains, they are subrings of their fraction fields. Let  $\mathbb{C}[Y] = \mathbb{C}[\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n]$  be generated by  $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n$ , so  $\chi(\mathbb{C}[Y]) = \mathbb{C}[\chi(\alpha_1), \dots, \chi(\alpha_n)]$ . Let  $\chi(\alpha_i) = \frac{b_i}{c_i}$ , with  $b_i, c_i \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ . Let  $c = c_1 \cdots c_n$ . Thus,  $\chi(\mathbb{C}[Y]) \subset \mathbb{C}[X]_c$ , so  $\chi$  restricts to give an injective ring homomorphism  $\chi : \mathbb{C}[Y] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X_c]$ , and hence a morphism of varieties  $\phi : X_c \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\chi = \phi^*$ . Then  $\phi$  is dominant since  $\chi$  is injective, and the induced field homomorphism  $\chi : \mathbb{C}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(X)$  is an isomorphism by assumption, so  $\phi : X_c \rightarrow Y$  is birational.

**Q.E.D.**

**Remark 0.25.** *EXERCISE* Let  $X = V(y^2 - x^3)$  and let  $Y = \mathbb{C}$ . Give a field isomorphism  $\chi : \mathbb{C}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(X)$  and find  $c \in \mathbb{C}[X]$  and the morphism  $\phi : X_c \rightarrow Y$  inducing  $\chi$ .

**Proposition 0.26.** Let  $X$  be a  $d$ -dimensional affine variety. Then there exists nonzero  $c \in \mathbb{C}[X]$ , an irreducible polynomial  $f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathbb{C}^{d+1}]$  and a birational morphism  $\phi : X_c \rightarrow V(f)$ .

**Proof :** By the Noether normalization Lemma, there exist  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d \in \mathbb{C}[X]$  that are algebraically independent over  $\mathbb{C}$  such that  $\mathbb{C}[X]$  is integral over  $\mathbb{C}[\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d]$ . It follows that  $\mathbb{C}(X)$  is algebraic over  $\mathbb{C}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d)$ . Since these fields have characteristic zero, this field extension is separable, so by the theorem of the primitive element (Dummit and Foote, Theorem 25 of 14.4),  $\mathbb{C}(X) = \mathbb{C}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d)[\alpha]$ , where  $\alpha \in \mathbb{C}(X)$  is an element algebraic over  $\mathbb{C}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d)$ . Let  $m(y)$  be the minimal polynomial of  $\alpha$  over  $\mathbb{C}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d)$ , so that  $\mathbb{C}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d)[\alpha] \cong \mathbb{C}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d)[y]/(m(y))$ . Note that  $\mathbb{C}[\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d] \cong \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_d]$  is a UFD since the collection  $\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d$  is algebraically independent. Since  $m(y)$  is irreducible over  $\mathbb{C}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d)$  by Lemma 0.22, there exists  $p(y) \in \mathbb{C}[\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d][y]$  with  $p(\alpha) = 0$ ,  $p(y)$



irreducible and such that  $\mathbb{C}[\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d][y]/(p(y))$  injects into  $\mathbb{C}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d)[y]/(p(y)) \cong \mathbb{C}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d)[\alpha] = \mathbb{C}(X)$ .

Consider the unique  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra isomorphism  $\psi : \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_d] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d]$  such that  $\psi(x_i) = \beta_i$ , which extends to a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra isomorphism  $\mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_d][y] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d][y]$  mapping  $y$  to  $y$ . Let  $\psi(q(y)) = p(y)$ . This induces a  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra isomorphism  $\psi_1 : \mathbb{C}[x_1, \dots, x_d][y]/(q(y)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d][y]/(p(y))$ . The localization  $\chi$  of  $\psi_1$  is a field isomorphism  $\chi : \mathbb{C}(x_1, \dots, x_d)[y]/(q(y)) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(\beta_1, \dots, \beta_d)[y]/(p(y)) \cong \mathbb{C}(X)$ .

Let  $Y = V(q) \subset \mathbb{C}^{d+1}$ . Since  $p(y)$  is irreducible,  $q(y)$  is irreducible, and it follows that  $Y$  is an irreducible hypersurface in  $\mathbb{C}^{d+1}$ , where  $y$  is regarded as the  $d + 1$ th variable. Further,  $\mathbb{C}(Y) = \mathbb{C}(x_1, \dots, x_d)[y]/(q(y))$ , so  $\chi : \mathbb{C}(Y) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}(X)$  is an isomorphism of function fields. Hence, by Lemma 0.24, there exists  $c \in \mathbb{C}[X]$  and a birational morphism  $\phi : X_c \rightarrow Y$  such that  $\chi$  is the localization of  $\phi^*$ .

**Q.E.D.**

For Step (4), let  $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$  be a morphism of affine algebraic sets with corresponding algebra homomorphism  $\phi^* : \mathbb{C}[Y] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[X]$ . Then if  $x \in X$ ,  $\phi^*(\mathfrak{m}_{\phi(x)}) \subset \mathfrak{m}_x$ . In particular, we obtain a linear map  $d\phi_x^* : T_{\phi(x)}^*(Y) \rightarrow T_x^*(X)$  given by  $d\phi_x^*(f + \mathfrak{m}_{\phi(x)}^2) = \phi^*(f) + \mathfrak{m}_x^2$ , which is called the codifferential. Its transpose  $d\phi_x : T_x(X) \rightarrow T_{\phi(x)}(Y)$  is called the differential of  $\phi$  at  $x$ .

Note that if in addition  $\psi : Y \rightarrow Z$  is a morphism, then  $d(\psi \circ \phi)_x^* = d\phi_x^* \circ d\psi_{\phi(x)}^*$ , since  $(\psi \circ \phi)^* = \psi^* \circ \phi^*$ . It follows that  $d(\psi \circ \phi)_x = d\psi_{\phi(x)} \circ d\phi_x$ .

**Remark 0.27. EXERCISE 3** If  $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$  is an isomorphism of affine varieties, then for all  $x \in X$ ,  $d\phi_x : T_x(X) \rightarrow T_{\phi(x)}(Y)$  is an isomorphism of vector spaces. In particular, if  $X$  is smooth and  $Y$  is singular, there is no isomorphism between  $X$  and  $Y$ .

**Remark 0.28. EXERCISE 4** Let  $X = \mathbb{C}$  and let  $Y = V(y^2 - x^3) \in \mathbb{C}^2$ . Define  $\phi : X \rightarrow Y$  by  $\phi(b) = (b^2, b^3)$ .

(i) Let  $\beta = (0, 0) \in Y$ . Compute  $T_\beta(Y)$  and  $T_\beta^*(Y)$ .

(ii) Let  $a = 0 \in X$  and compute  $d\phi_a : T_a(X) \rightarrow T_\beta(Y)$  and  $d\phi_a^* : T_\beta^*(Y) \rightarrow T_a^*(X)$ .

(iii) Prove that  $X$  and  $Y$  are not isomorphic as affine varieties.

**Remark 0.29. EXERCISE 5; these are all good exercises.**

(i) Define  $\phi : GL(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $\phi(g) = \det(g)$ . For  $g \in GL(n)$ , use the fact that  $GL(n)$  is open in  $M(n)$  to identify  $T_g(GL(n)) = T_g(M(n)) = M(n)$  and for  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , identify  $T_z(\mathbb{C}) = \mathbb{C}$ . Prove that  $d\phi_g(A) = \text{Tr}(A)$ , the trace of  $A$ .

(ii) Let  $i : Y \rightarrow X$  be the inclusion of a closed subset  $Y$  in an affine set  $X$ . Prove that if  $y \in Y$ , then  $di_y : T_y(Y) \rightarrow T_x(X)$  is injective.

(iii) If  $\phi : \mathbb{C}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^m$  is a linear map, show that if  $a \in \mathbb{C}^n$ , we can identify  $d\phi_a : T_a(\mathbb{C}^n) \rightarrow T_{\phi(a)}(\mathbb{C}^m)$  with  $\phi$ .

We combine the preceding results to prove the following theorem, which is the main result of these notes.

**Theorem 0.30.** *Let  $X$  be an affine variety and let  $\alpha \in X$ . Then*

- (1)  $\dim(T_\alpha(X)) \geq \dim(X)$ ;
- (2) *The smooth locus of  $X$  is open and nonempty.*

**Proof :** By Proposition 0.26, there is  $c \in \mathbb{C}[X]$  and a birational morphism  $\phi : X_c \rightarrow Y := V(f)$  to an irreducible hypersurface. By Proposition 0.17 of the notes on fiber dimension, there is a nonempty affine open set  $V$  of  $Y$  such that  $U_1 := \phi^{-1}(V)$  is affine open in  $X_c$  and  $\phi : U_1 \rightarrow V$  is an isomorphism of affine varieties. By Proposition 0.18, the set  $Y_r$  of smooth points of  $Y$  is open and dense. Since  $Y$  is irreducible,  $V_r := Y_r \cap V$  is nonempty and  $V_r$  is smooth by Remark 0.16. Let  $U = \phi^{-1}(V_r)$  and note that  $\phi : U \rightarrow V_r$  is an isomorphism of affine varieties. By Exercise 3 above, it follows that  $U$  is smooth. Hence,  $U \subset X_r$ , where  $X_r$  is the set of smooth points of  $X$ , so in particular,  $X_r$  is nonempty. Since  $Sing(X)$  is closed, (2) follows.

To establish (1), note that  $X_r \subset S_d(X)$ , and  $S_d(X)$  is closed in  $X$  by Proposition 0.19. Since  $X$  is irreducible and  $X_r$  is open and nonempty,  $\overline{X_r} = X$ , so  $X = S_d(X)$ . This gives (1).

**Q.E.D.**

The assertion that  $\dim(T_\alpha(X)) \geq \dim(X)$  means in some rough sense that around  $\alpha$ ,  $\mathbb{C}[X]$  cannot be generated by fewer than  $\dim(X)$  functions. We make this more precise below.

**Lemma 0.31.** *(COROLLARY TO NAKAYAMA'S LEMMA) Let  $R$  be a local ring with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{n}$  and let  $M$  be a finitely generated  $R$ -module and let  $N \subset M$  be a  $R$ -submodule. If  $M = N + \mathfrak{n} \cdot M$ , then  $M = N$ .*

**Proof :**  $M/N$  is a finitely generated  $R$ -module and the hypothesis implies that  $M/N = \mathfrak{n} \cdot M/N$ . Hence, by Nakayama's Lemma,  $M/N = 0$ , so  $M = N$ .

**Q.E.D.**

**Lemma 0.32.** *Let  $R$  be an integral domain with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  and suppose  $R$  is  $\mathbb{C}$ -algebra and  $R/\mathfrak{m} = \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $S = R - \mathfrak{m}$  and let  $\mathfrak{n} = S^{-1}\mathfrak{m}$ , the maximal ideal of the local ring  $S^{-1}R$ . Then the map  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \rightarrow \mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{n}^2$  given by  $y + \mathfrak{m}^2 \mapsto \frac{y}{1} + \mathfrak{n}^2$  is an isomorphism of  $R$ -modules.*

**Proof :** This is the same as the proof of Lemma 0.7.

**Q.E.D.**

**Lemma 0.33.** *For a point  $\alpha$  in an affine variety  $X$ , let  $R_\alpha = S^{-1}\mathbb{C}[X]$ , where  $S = R - \mathfrak{m}_\alpha$  and let  $\mathfrak{m} = S^{-1}\mathfrak{m}_\alpha$ . Let  $f_1, \dots, f_k \in R_\alpha$ . Then  $\mathfrak{m} = R_\alpha f_1 + \dots + R_\alpha f_k$  if and only if  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2$  is generated as a  $R_\alpha/\mathfrak{m}$ -vector space by the images of  $f_1, \dots, f_k$ .*

One direction of this result is easy. The other direction is a consequence of Nakayama's Lemma. The vector space  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 = \mathfrak{m}_\alpha/\mathfrak{m}_\alpha^2$ , so it follows that the dimension of  $T_\alpha(X)$  is the same as the minimal number of generators for the  $R_\alpha$ -module  $\mathfrak{m}$ .

By Lemma 0.32,  $\mathfrak{m}/\mathfrak{m}^2 \cong \mathfrak{m}_\alpha/\mathfrak{m}_\alpha^2$ , so that the Lemma implies that the dimension of  $T_\alpha(X)$  is the minimal number of generators of the local ring  $R_\alpha$ .

The local ring  $R_\alpha$  with maximal ideal  $\mathfrak{m}$  from Lemma 0.33 is called a regular local ring if the length of a maximal chain of prime ideals of  $R_\alpha$  is  $\dim(X)$ . A regular local ring is an integral domain, and is integrally closed in its fraction field.

We state the following result from commutative algebra.

**Proposition 0.34.** *Let  $\alpha \in X$  be a smooth point of an affine variety  $X$ . Then  $R_\alpha$  is a regular local ring.*

A point  $\alpha \in X$  is called normal if  $R_\alpha$  is integrally closed. If  $U = X_f \subset X$  is the affine open set defined by nonvanishing of  $f$ , then  $U$  is normal if and only if each point  $\alpha \in U$  is normal in  $X$ . By Theorem 0.30 and Proposition 0.34, there is a nonempty open set  $V \subset X$  such that if  $\alpha \in V$ ,  $\alpha$  is a normal point of  $X$ . Since every open set in  $X$  is a union of principal open sets, it follows that there is a principal open set of  $X$  that is normal. This gives an alternative proof of Proposition 0.19 from the notes on fiber dimension, but requires more commutative algebra