Microbiomes in light of traits: A phylogenetic perspective

Jennifer B. H. Martiny,* Stuart E. Jones, Jay T. Lennon, Adam C. Martiny

BACKGROUND: Microbial communities—microbiomes—are intricately linked to human health and critical ecosystem services. New technologies allow the rapid characterization of hundreds of samples at a time and provide a sweeping perspective on microbiome patterns. However, a systematic understanding of what determines microbiome diversity and composition and its implications for system functioning is still lacking. A focus on the phenotypic characteristics of microorganisms—their traits—offers a path for interpreting the growing amount of microbiome data. Indeed, a variety of trait-based approaches have been proposed for plants and animal communities, and this approach has helped to clarify the mechanisms underlying community assembly, diversity-process relationships, and ecosystem responses to environmental change.

Although there is a growing emphasis on microbial traits, the concept has not been fully appreciated in microbiology. However, a trait focus for microorganisms may present an even larger research opportunity than for macroorganisms. Not only do microorganisms play a central role in nutrient and energy cycling in most systems, but the techniques used to characterize microbiomes usually provide extensive molecular and phylogenetic information.

ADVANCES: One major difference between macro- and microorganisms is the potential for horizontal gene transfer (HGT) in microorganisms. Higher rates of HGT mean that many microbial traits might be unre-lated to the history of the vertically descended parts of the genome. If true, then the taxonomic composition of a microbiome might reveal little about the health or functioning of a system. We first review key aspects of microbial traits and then recent studies that document the distribution of microbial traits onto the tree of life. A synthesis of these studies reveals that, despite the promiscuity of HGT, microbial traits appear to be phylogenetically conserved, or not distributed randomly across the tree of life. Further, microbial traits appear to be conserved in a hierarchical fashion, possibly linked to their biochemical and genetic complexity. For instance, traits such as pH and salinity preference are relatively deeply conserved, such that taxa within deep clades tend to share the trait. In contrast, other traits like the ability to use simple carbon substrates or to take up organic phosphorus are shallowly conserved, and taxa share these traits only within small, shallow clades.

OUTLOOK: The phylogenetic, trait-based framework that emerges offers a path to interpret microbiome variation and its connection to the health and functioning of environmental, engineered, and human systems. In particular, the taxonomic resolution of biogeographic patterns provides information about the traits under selection, even across entirely different systems. Parallels observed among human and free-living communities support this idea. For instance, microbial traits related to growth on different substrates (e.g., proteins, fats, and carbohydrates) in the human gut appear to be conserved at approximately the genus level, a resolution associated with the level of conservation of glycoside hydrolase genes in bacteria generally. A focus on two particular types of traits—response and effect traits—may also aid in microbiome management, whether that means maintaining human health or mitigating climate change impacts. Future work on microbial traits must consider three challenges: the influence of different trait measurements on cross-study comparisons; correlations between traits within and among microorganisms; and interactions among microbial traits, the environment, and other organisms. Our conclusions also have implications for the growing field of community phylogenetics beyond applications to microorganisms.

Measuring and mapping the phylogenetic distribution of microbial traits. Microbial traits encompass a range of phenotypic characteristics that vary in complexity, including (clockwise from top) virus resistance, cellulose degradation, salinity preference, nitrogen fixation, biofilm formation, and the production of alkaline phosphatase. Each trait can be measured in innumerable ways. For instance, it can be described by discrete or continuous metrics (e.g., the presence of a gene versus the number of gene copies) of potential or realized phenotypes (e.g., those assayed by functional metagenomics versus in situ activity). [Credits: C. Wiehe; M. Maltz; J. Martiny; L. Riemann; J. Haagensen; K. Frischkorn]
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Fig. 1. Examples of microbial traits and their measurement. The traits are ordered vertically from simple to complex and consider not only how many genes are directly involved in encoding the trait, but also how integrated the trait is with the rest of the organism’s cellular machinery. While each trait can be measured in a variety of ways, the matrix contrasts discrete versus continuous and potential versus realized measurements (see text). Photos: (A) *Trichodesmium* trichome from the Sargasso Sea incubated with the ELF 97 phosphatase substrate. Green labeling indicates sites of alkaline phosphatase activity; orange is autoflurescence of the phycoerythrin pigment. (B) Infection of a bacteriophage on the marine cyanobacterium *Synechococcus*. The pink wells are *Synechococcus* without phage; the bottom wells include phage, which lysed the cells and cleared the culture’s pigments. (C) Brown rot fungi like *Antrodia juniperina* degrade cellulose. (D) Biofilm of two green fluorescent protein–labeled *Vibrio cholerae* strains imaged by confocal microscopy. (E) Growth of a heterotrophic diazotroph in N-free medium with a vertical oxygen gradient. An oxygen microelectrode points to the band of growth. (F) Methanogens produce large amounts of methane in the rumens of cattle. (G) Diversity of salt marsh heterotrophs growing on high-saline agar. [Photo credits: K. Frischkorn, Dyhrman Lab, Columbia University; C. Wiehe; M. Maltz; J. Haagensen; L. Riemann, University of Copenhagen; K. Dill-McFarland, University of Wisconsin-Madison; J. Martiny]
genes in fungal genomes was correlated with the enzyme’s activity in cultures (33).

Nonetheless, an organism’s realized phenotype in situ may differ from measures of its potential phenotype. Traits can be modified by interactions with the environment (i.e., trait plasticity) and interactions with other organisms. As a result, a microbe’s optimal temperature for growth in the laboratory might differ from the temperature of its maximum abundance in the field (34), or the sequence-based inference of gene function may be incorrect (35). For plants, realized phenotypes are often quantified in the field (e.g., leaf area or photosynthetic rate). In microbial systems, direct quantification of trait phenotypes “in the wild” is challenging, although technologies such as microarray technology and fluorescence in situ hybridization (MAR-FISH), nanoscale secondary ion mass spectrometry (NanoSIMS), and cell sorting show great promise (36–38). Mostly, however, realized phenotypes are inferred from the biogeographic patterns of microorganisms (39), which we will return to below.

Phylogenetic conservatism of traits

The collection of traits that defines an organism depends on its evolutionary history. However, the potential for horizontal gene transfer (HGT) among microorganisms means that many traits might be unrelated to the history of the vertically descended parts of the genome (40). Gene loss and rapid evolution can further obscure a trait’s phylogenetic signal. Yet, recent work indicates that most traits are at least somewhat conserved across Bacteria and Archaia (31, 41), as well as microbial eukaryotes like Fungi (42). Specifically, despite the promiscuity of HGT, closely related microbial taxa share more similar traits than expected if the traits were distributed randomly across a phylogenetic tree. [This test of trait conservatism differs from that of phylogenetic niche conservatism, which only considers changes by vertical descent (43).]

Not only are microbial traits phylogenetically conserved, but the depth of their conservation differs among traits. This result holds true when using at least three different approaches. The first approach links phenotypic traits of isolates to their evolutionary relatedness. In such studies, microbial traits are quantified by using laboratory isolates and a genetic estimation of phylogenetic relatedness among the isolates. For example, a variety of continuous trait metrics, ranging from maximum respiration rate to optimal soil moisture, were quantified for a collection of heterotrophic bacteria and fungi isolated from soil communities (23). Subsequently, the variation of each trait among taxonomic levels was partitioned. For traits associated with soil moisture preference, much of the variation among strains was deeply conserved; more than half of the variation in moisture niche breadth (the tolerance of a strain to variation in soil moisture) could be assigned at the phylum level. In contrast, only one-third of the variability in motility could be accounted for at the class level (Fig. 2A).

Another example comes from a study that probed hundreds of bacterial isolates to determine their ability to grow on a diverse array of carbon substrates (41). Here, the depth of phylogenetic conservatism of a trait was estimated from the average sequence distance to the root node of clades, where at least 90% of taxa share the trait. In general, the ability to use each substrate was nonrandomly distributed across the phylogeny; however, the level of conservation of these traits was shallow. Thus, closely related strains of >98% similarity in 16S rRNA sequence may still have distinct substrate use profiles.

A second approach quantifies trait conservatism by extracting information from the wealth of microbial genome sequences that are available (presently ~30,000). For simple traits, one can quantify the presence or absence of individual genes in a genome. For instance, the potential ability to degrade various carbohydrates can be assayed by the presence of various families of glycoside hydrolase genes (44). For more complex traits, one can target particular genomic subsystems or whole-cell metabolic networks (45). For example, in an analysis of 19 subsystems across 26 prokaryotic phyla, oxidative photosynthesis, a trait found only in the phylum Cyanobacteria, was the most deeply conserved subsystem (41). In contrast, metabolic capabilities like sulfur oxidation and nitrogen fixation were less conserved, albeit more so than carbon substrate usage (Fig. 2B).

A third way to estimate phylogenetic conservatism of traits relies on compositional variation among sampled communities. These patterns can provide correlative evidence about the taxonomic and genetic level at which microbial groups share response traits, or traits that influence how a species’ abundance or biomass is altered by an environmental change (7, 46). Thus, the ability to enter dormancy is a trait that may influence a microorganism’s response to environmental stresses, such as fire and drought (47). Often, however, it is useful to consider a taxon’s response to the environment as a trait itself (22, 48), rather than the conglomerate of traits underlying the response (49). For instance, the abundance of some bacterial phyla (e.g., Verrucomicrobia, Bacteriodetes, and Acidobacteria) showed significant correlations with soil properties like pH and inorganic nutrient concentrations within a pasture, suggesting that taxa within these phyla share similar traits (39). In a larger-scale study of soils collected throughout the United States, bacteria within the class β-Proteobacteria and phylum Bacteriodetes tended to be more abundant in soils with high organic carbon availability (as measured by carbon mineralization rate), whereas those within the phylum Acidobacteria were more abundant in soils with lower carbon availability (50). This pattern indicates that the traits underlying an oligotrophic (low nutrient) or copiotrophic (high nutrient) strategy may be deeply conserved among soil bacteria.

Experiments can also provide evidence about the conservation of response traits. For example, the responses of soil microbes to added water were quantified by rRNA abundances in dry California grasslands (51) (Fig. 2C). The response strategies to sudden water availability were highly conserved.

Taxa within a phyla responded in a consistent manner; representatives from Verrucomicrobia and Actinobacteria responded quickly, whereas Proteobacteria tended to show more delayed responses. In a longer-term experiment, the responses of grassland leaf litter microbes to 3 years of drought (reduced by temporary rain shelters) and added nitrogen were also significantly conserved (52). Here, the depth of response was quantified by correlating the genetic distance between all taxon pairs and the similarity of their response. The responses of fungal taxa to drought and nitrogen were both positively correlated at the finer taxonomic levels (<5% shared 28S rRNA sequence similarity; Fig. 2D). However, drought responses were also significantly correlated at broader levels of taxonomic resolution (up to 7.6% sequence similarity) than were nitrogen responses, indicating that drought responses are more deeply conserved than nitrogen responses.

A hierarchy of trait conservation

To quantify patterns of microbial trait conservatism, we searched for published studies that estimated (or allowed us to estimate) the depth of clades where prokaryotic taxa consistently shared trait values. For instance, clades of the marine cyanobacterium Synechococcus show distinct temperature profiles (a continuous, realized metric) on the basis of their biogeographic patterns (53). We then estimated the median depth of these different clades using a 16S rRNA phylogeny in another study (54). In another example, the estimate of the depth of methanogenesis was based on a discrete, potential metric—the presence of this subsystem across all sequenced bacterial genomes (41). Such cross-study differences in trait classes and measurement could bias the estimates of phylogenetic depth in some unknown way. At the same time, phylogenetic depth was assayed with the same phylogenetic marker (the 16S rRNA gene) in all but one study (table S1), and in this way, the estimates are comparable.

Despite these complications, the synthesis reveals a hierarchy of phylogenetic conservatism among traits. The response traits of pH and salinity preference appear to be relatively deeply conserved (Fig. 3), in agreement with past studies showing that pH and salinity affect the biogeographic distribution of deep phylogenetic clades (55, 56). In contrast, long-term drought response and temperature optimum are more finely conserved, or only shared consistently by taxa within smaller clades of bacteria. A similar hierarchy appears to apply to effect traits involving the use of alternative electron acceptors; methanogenesis is the most deeply conserved trait noted in Fig. 3, whereas dissimilative sulfate reduction and denitrification are notably less so. The effect traits of simple carbon use and organic P uptake seem to be much shallower than those modifying the electron transport chain. Finally, resistance to specific bacteriophage varies depending on particular point mutations and thus, one might argue that this trait is not conserved at all.

Our findings are consistent with the view that traits encoded by more complex subsystems
Methanogenesis, the most deeply conserved trait of all prokaryotes, occurs in prokaryotes (41, 57). This trait is of biochemical and ecological interest for which we know a great deal about both the underlying traits throughout a cell’s machinery and the temporal variability in microbial composition among free-living and host environments. To illustrate this point, we first consider the case study of the Cyanobacterium Prochlorococcus, for which we know a great deal about both the phylogenetic distribution of its traits and its biogeographic patterns. This phototroph is found in the open oceans, spanning multiple environmental gradients. At the deepest phylogenetic level, Prochlorococcus is broadly divided into two groups: high- and low-light adapted clades (Fig. 4A), first defined by physiological measurements of cultured isolates (62). At the next-deepest level, metagenomic sequencing suggests that the proteomes of these two groups have evolved in different ways, with low-light adapted species more likely to have a unique membrane-bound cytochrome complex and an atypical mechanism for translocation of protons (58). Although denitrification uses several unique membrane proteins, it is widely distributed among prokaryotes (59) and biochemically quite similar to aerobic metabolism. Thus, it is perhaps relatively easy for denitrification proteins to transfer into an aerobic ancestor (or evolve convergently). At the same time, it seems somewhat surprising that conservation of temperature preference is so shallow, when this phenotype could potentially involve a variety of underlying traits throughout a cell’s machinery. However, while this reasoning may apply to extreme temperature adaptation (60), a shallow level of conservation suggests that adaptation to minor temperature differences involves simpler traits that evolve on shorter time scales (61).

**Trait conservatism and biogeography**

The idea that traits are hierarchically conserved is directly applicable to the interpretation of microbial biogeography, broadly defined as the spatial and temporal variability in microbial composition among free-living and host environments.

**Fig. 2. Evidence for the phylogenetic conservatism of microbial traits.**

(A) By measuring phenotypic traits among a collection of bacterial and fungal soil isolates, variation in moisture niche breadth and motility can be attributed to different taxonomic levels (23). (B) With the use of data from fully sequenced prokaryotes, the presence (red lines) or absence (blue lines) of genes encoding three traits (the three concentric rings) can be determined. The phylogenetic depth of each lineage sharing this trait can then be quantified and compared against a random model. (C) An experiment provides information on the phylogenetic distribution of a prokaryote’s response to increased water availability. The response strategy (rapid, intermediate, or delayed) of each soil taxon to added water is shown by the red, green, and purple lines in the inner two circles (one for each of two field locations labeled NCA and SCA) around the phylogenetic tree. The outermost ring indicates the phylum designation of the taxa. (D) A global change experiment suggests that the response of leaf litter fungi to drought (orange) is more deeply conserved than that to nitrogen addition (blue). The significance of the correlation relating the genetic distance between two fungal taxa and the similarity of their response to the treatment (drought or nitrogen addition) depends on the genetic resolution of the taxon definition (filled circles denote a significant correlation and open circles, a nonsignificant correlation). The drought response shows significant correlations at broader resolutions (the genetic distance of the taxon definition) than the nitrogen response. [Redrawn with permission from (51)].

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Genus</th>
<th>Species</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Actinobacteria</td>
<td>Planctomycetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteroidetes</td>
<td>Chlamydia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chlorobi</td>
<td>TM7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cyanobacteria</td>
<td>Gemmatimonadetes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Crenarchaeota</td>
<td>OP10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Firmicutes</td>
<td>OP9/JS1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HC10</td>
<td>NC10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nitrospira</td>
<td>Prochlorococcus</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Proteobacteria</td>
<td>Synergistes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syntrophus</td>
<td>Thaumarchaeota</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

(A) A global change experiment suggests that the response of leaf litter fungi to drought (orange) is more deeply conserved than that to nitrogen addition (blue). The significance of the correlation relating the genetic distance between two fungal taxa and the similarity of their response to the treatment (drought or nitrogen addition) depends on the genetic resolution of the taxon definition (filled circles denote a significant correlation and open circles, a nonsignificant correlation). The drought response shows significant correlations at broader resolutions (the genetic distance of the taxon definition) than the nitrogen response. [Redrawn with permission from (51)].
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high-light clade can be divided into high- and low-iron adapted clades (63). The high-iron clade can be further divided into subclades that differ in their temperature preferences (Fig. 4A) (64), and at the finest phylogenetic scale, genomic and physiological analyses demonstrate variability in nitrogen and phosphorus acquisition traits (65–67).

The macroevolutionary picture of Prochlorococcus traits that emerges is consistent with how its biogeographic patterns depend on phylogenetic resolution. Depending on the sequence similarity used to define a taxon, the ability of specific environmental variables to explain Prochlorococcus composition varies in agreement with the relevant traits (Fig. 4B) (68). Hence, light level explains a significant amount of compositional variation across samples from the Pacific and Atlantic oceans when Prochlorococcus is grouped into broad taxa, whereas nutrient concentrations only explain variation at the finest taxonomic levels.

Although our detailed understanding of the Prochlorococcus lineage is exceptional, the idea of differentially conserved traits may generally help to predict compositional variation in any microbial system. Specifically, changes in the environment that select on deep traits should alter microbiome composition at broad taxonomic levels (orange versus blue symbols in Fig. 5). In contrast, selection on shallow traits should result in compositional shifts at finer taxonomic levels (solid versus hatched symbols in Fig. 5). Thus, the resolution at which microbiome composition varies among samples may give information about the phylogenetic conservation of the traits under selection.
Fig. 5. The phylogenetic depth of traits conservation influences compositional variation among microbiome samples. (A) Comparison of the phylogenetic distribution of a deep and shallow trait, indicated by discrete categories for each taxon at the tips of the tree. A deeply conserved trait, such as the ability to use some alternative electron acceptors, is denoted by the orange and blue symbols. A shallower trait, like the ability to use a particular carbon substrate, is denoted by the solid and striped gray symbols. (B) How microbial composition shifts among samples will depend on the depth of conservation of the traits under selection. In this cartoon landscape, the large circles represent a sample; the cells within that sample carry traits designated by the colors and patterns in (A). In this example, a change in redox potential across a landscape (y axis) alters microbial composition at the phylogenetic level, such that the relative abundance of blue and orange cells is altered. A change in carbon substrate availability (x axis) alters composition at the genus level, so that the relative abundance of striped and solid cells shifts, but not that of orange and blue cells.

Both host-associated and free-living systems provide examples of this connection between trait conservation and microbiome variation. The human skin microbiome differs in composition among three habitat types: dry, moist, and sebaceous skin (89). Further analysis reveals that these habitat preferences are only consistent [or “coherent” sensu (39)] among taxa within the genus or sharing at least 97% 16S rRNA sequence similarity (70). Exactly which traits are driving this distribution remain to be determined. A common species in moist skin sites, Staphylococcus epidermidis, grows aerobically and uses urea as a nitrogen source, whereas the most common species in sebaceous sites, Propionibacterium acnes, is a facultative anaerobe and hydrolyzes the triglycerides in skin lipids (77).

In contrast, virulence traits of skin microorganisms appear to be even more finely conserved than habitat preference. For example, the genetic differences among strains of P. acnes associated with acne versus healthy skin are relatively minor (72). Similarly, virulent strains of S. epidermidis differ from nonvirulent strains by a four-gene operon involved in biofilm formation and an insertion sequence element, genetic changes that may be associated with horizontal gene transfer (HGT) events (79). In general, virulence potential may be a shallow trait, as it often appears to evolve independently via HGT—for instance, among microbes such as Escherichia coli (74) and Pseudomonas aeruginosa (75).

Microbial traits related to growth on different substrates (e.g., proteins, fats, and carbohydrates) in the human gut appear to be conserved at approximately the genus level, a resolution also associated with gut enterotypes (76). In response to both short- and long-term dietary patterns, gut taxa within a genus seem to respond similarly, whereas the responses of genera within phyla are not consistent (77, 78). Specifically, within the phylum Bacteroidetes, Bacteroides species are generally enriched in diets high in protein and fat, whereas Prevotella species are enriched in diets high in carbohydrates. These compositional shifts may be due to selection for traits, such as the ability to degrade particular carbohydrates (79) and bile tolerance (77). Echoing the taxonomic level of these diet responses, an analysis of bacterial genome sequences finds that bacterial glycoside hydrolase (GH) genes are generally conserved at the genus or species level (44). Supporting this pattern, family level is not predictive of the abundance of carbohydrate-active enzymes (GHS and polysaccharide lyases) encoded by human-associated bacterial genomes (80).

Finally, chronic gut syndromes in humans appear to differ from those of healthy microbiomes at even broader taxonomic levels. Thus, the composition of gut microbiomes from inflammatory bowel disease (IBD, including ulcerative colitis and Crohn’s disease) patients differs from that of healthy microbiomes at the class and phylum levels (87). The relative abundance of taxa within the class Clostridia and phylum Bacteroidetes decreased in IBD patients, whereas taxa within the Proteobacteria and Bacteroides increased. Similar community patterns were observed in patients with Clostridium difficile infections relative to that of healthy volunteers also receiving antibiotic treatment (82). These broad-scale shifts suggest that inflammatory conditions impose selection for deep microbial traits, perhaps related to oxygen and redox potential preference. Likewise, the phylum-level shifts found along redox gradients in ocean oxygen minimum zones or sediment profiles (83, 84) are parallel to the broad changes found in chronic gut syndromes.

Challenges and implications

Microbial traits appear to vary consistently in the degree to which they are conserved. Further, the parallels among host-associated and free-living communities suggest that this hierarchy of trait conservation may underlie similar community shifts across entirely different systems. Specifically, we propose that selection on shallow traits in a microbiome will lead to fine-scale taxonomic shifts, whereas selection on deeper traits will lead to broader-scale shifts. Thus, viewing microbiome patterns in light of traits in one system can provide an initial hypothesis for the distribution of traits in other systems. Such hypotheses may further shed light on unexplained microbiome variation by narrowing down the traits that might be under selective pressure.

It is worth highlighting several obstacles to inferring the level of trait conservation from distributional patterns. First, interpretations about trait conservation will be sensitive to the particular taxa present in a study. If a phylum is only represented by a handful of narrow lineages, then these lineages may not be representative of the phylum in other systems. A similar issue arises when interpreting variation in the abundance of broad taxonomic groups, without regard to whether individual lineages within those groups vary consistently (70). In both cases, the trait of interest might be conserved at a finer phylogenetic level than suggested by the samples at hand.

Second, we have primarily discussed traits in isolation to one another, but for a variety of reasons, traits within and among taxa are often correlated (85), presenting challenges and opportunities for studying microbial traits. For example, within an organism, the use of methods such as metagenomics and metatranscriptomics to characterize some traits might be misleading, because interactions with other traits might be essential to their realized phenotype (88). Across taxa, if many traits are correlated, then one may be able to reduce the multidimensionality of microbial traits. This idea is demonstrated well by the leaf economic spectrum in plants; the combination of leaf traits in a plant species appears constrained by physiological trade-offs (correlations) between these traits (86). Correlations between response
and effect traits may also present an opportunity for predicting how changes in environmental conditions lead to changes in microbial functioning (46, 49). For example, a model based on the response and effect traits of phytoplankton performed well in predicting gross primary production in lakes across a variety of environmental conditions (87).

Last, the extent to which gene-by-environment interactions (trait plasticity) and biotic interactions (such as microbe with microbe or host with microbe) influence microbial composition is unclear. Such interactions might hinder inferences across systems, but with so little comparative data on these issues (see (88)), it is difficult to speculate about the importance of this complication. Our conclusions also have implications for the growing field of community phylogenetics (89–91) beyond applications to microorganisms. For instance, one question of broad interest is whether phylogenetic diversity (the amount of phylogenetic distance between all species in a community) influences ecosystem functioning (92, 93). A hierarchy of trait conservation would imply that the strength of this relationship should depend on the traits involved. Variation in traits that are generally finely conserved (such as simple carbon use or nutrient uptake for microbes) may not be captured by phylogenetic relatedness metrics that emphasize deep relationships between species. This might explain why some studies find a phylogenetic diversity-functioning relationship (94) and others do not (95).

Likewise, differences in trait conservation may help to explain the mixed support for the hypothesis that evolutionary relatedness can help predict the outcome of species interactions (96). For example, if the outcome of competition depends on a microbe’s ability to acquire organic phosphate, a trait that seems to be finely conserved, then the large phylogenetic distance between most species in the community may not be correlated with their competitive outcome. In contrast, if the outcome depends on niche partitioning of a moisture axis, which seems to be more deeply conserved, then phylogenetic distance might better capture this trait variation.

There is growing evidence that microbial community composition can directly affect functional processes in human and environmental systems (97, 98). This fact has practical implications for human health, engineering, and natural environments (99–107). However, it remains unclear how and why microbial composition will be functionally relevant. For microorganisms specifically, a phylogenetic trait framework offers a path toward a predictive understanding of this role. Pinpointing the effect and response traits responsible—and their degree of phylogenetic conservation—may aid in microbe management, whether that means maintaining human health or mitigating climate change impacts.