

**A BOUND FOR THE DIMENSION  
OF THE AUTOMORPHISM GROUP  
OF A HOMOGENEOUS COMPACT COMPLEX MANIFOLD**

DENNIS M. SNOW

(Communicated by Richard A. Wentworth)

ABSTRACT. Let  $X$  be a homogeneous compact complex manifold, and let  $\text{Aut}(X)$  be the complex Lie group of holomorphic automorphisms of  $X$ . Examples show that  $\dim \text{Aut}(X)$  can grow exponentially in  $n = \dim X$ . In this note it is shown that

$$\dim \text{Aut}(X) \leq n^2 - 1 + \binom{2n-1}{n-1}$$

when  $n \geq 3$ . Thus,  $\dim \text{Aut}(X)$  is at most exponential in  $n$ . The proof relies on an upper bound for the dimension of the space of sections of the anticanonical bundle,  $K_Y^* = \det T_Y$ , of a homogeneous projective rational manifold  $Y$  of dimension  $m$ :  $\dim H^0(Y, K_Y^*) \leq \binom{2m+1}{m}$ .

1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $X$  be a connected compact complex manifold. Then  $\text{Aut}(X)$  is a complex Lie group acting holomorphically on  $X$  [2]. If  $X$  is homogeneous under  $\text{Aut}(X)$ , we may identify  $X$  with a coset space  $G/H$  where  $G = \text{Aut}^0(X)$  is the connected component of the identity of  $\text{Aut}(X)$  and  $H$  is the closed complex subgroup fixing a point in  $X$ . One simple measure of the degree of homogeneity of  $X$  is given by the dimension of its automorphism group,  $d = \dim \text{Aut}(X)$ . It is natural to ask how large  $d$  can be relative to the dimension  $n$  of  $X$  and to seek those homogeneous compact complex manifolds  $X$  of a fixed dimension  $n$  for which  $d$  is a maximum.

If  $X$  can be equivariantly embedded in complex projective space, then standard arguments involving Lie's theorem imply that the radical of  $G$  acts trivially on  $X$  and hence  $G$  is semisimple. Moreover, a maximal compact subgroup  $K \subset G$  acts transitively,  $X = K/L$ ,  $L = K \cap H$ , and the isotropy representation of  $L$  is an embedding into the unitary group  $U(n)$ . Therefore,  $d = \dim_{\mathbb{C}} G = \dim_{\mathbb{R}} K = 2n + \dim_{\mathbb{R}} L \leq 2n + n^2$ . More generally, if  $X = G/H$  is Kähler, then  $X = Y \times Z$  where  $Y$  is homogeneous and admits an equivariant embedding into projective space, and  $Z$  is a compact complex torus [3]. It follows that the same estimate on  $d$  holds:  $d \leq n(n+2)$ . Furthermore, it is not hard to verify that equality occurs only in the case where  $X$  is a complex projective space,  $X = \mathbb{P}^n$ . Thus, the question about the maximum of  $d$  for a fixed  $n$  is completely answered in the Kähler case.

---

Received by the editors November 10, 2002 and, in revised form, March 20, 2003.  
2000 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. Primary 32M10; Secondary 32M05.

The non-Kähler case does not yet have such complete answers. It has been known for some time that for each  $n$  there is a theoretical upper bound for  $d$ ; see [1, p. 99]. Examples show that an upper bound for  $d$  must be at least exponential in  $n$  [7]. The construction of these examples relies on several delicately balanced factors, including the existence of certain uniform discrete subgroups, which make it seem unlikely that a precise maximum  $d$  will be found for each  $n$ . Nevertheless, in this note we establish the following explicit estimate for  $d$  when  $n \geq 3$ :

$$d \leq n^2 - 1 + \binom{2n-1}{n-1}.$$

Stirling's formula reveals that  $\binom{2n-1}{n-1}$  asymptotically approaches  $2^{2n-1}/\sqrt{(n-1)\pi}$ . Therefore,  $d$  is at most exponential in  $n$ .

The idea of the proof of this estimate is to examine the restrictions placed on a Levi decomposition  $G = R \cdot S$  by the normalizer fibration  $X \rightarrow Y$ . The base  $Y$  is homogeneous under the semisimple factor  $S$  and can be equivariantly embedded in projective space, thus providing the estimate  $\dim S \leq m(m+2)$  where  $m = \dim Y$ . The group  $N$  that acts transitively on the fiber normalizes  $H^0$ , contains the radical  $R$  of  $G$ , and has a unimodular quotient,  $N/H^0$ . These facts have strong consequences for the weights of the adjoint representation of  $S$  on the Lie algebra of  $R$ . The highest weights of this representation are shown to be bounded above (coordinate-wise) by the weight  $\mu_Y$  associated to the anticanonical bundle of  $Y$ ,  $K_Y^* = \det T_Y$ . The result of [8] provides an upper bound for the dimension of the irreducible representation  $V(\mu_Y)$  with highest weight  $\mu_Y$ :  $\dim V(\mu_Y) = \dim H^0(Y, K_Y^*) \leq \binom{2m+1}{m}$ . This estimate then leads to an upper bound for  $\dim R$  which, along with the estimate for  $\dim S$ , yields the given upper bound for  $d$ .

## 2. PRELIMINARIES

As in the introduction, let  $X = G/H$  be a connected compact complex manifold homogeneous with respect to  $G = \text{Aut}^0(X)$ . Let  $G = R \cdot S$  be a Levi decomposition of  $G$  into its radical  $R$  and a semisimple complex Lie group  $S$ . Let  $N = N_G(H^0)$  be the normalizer in  $G$  of the connected component of the identity of  $H$ . Let  $Y = G/N$  be the base of the normalizer fibration,  $G/H \rightarrow G/N$ . Then  $Y = S/P$ , where  $P = S \cap H$  is a parabolic subgroup of  $S$ . The fiber  $Z = N/H = (N/H^0)/(H/H^0)$  is the quotient of a complex Lie group by a uniform discrete subgroup; see [9], [3].

We use German letters,  $\mathfrak{g}$ ,  $\mathfrak{h}$ , etc., to denote the Lie algebras of the Lie groups  $G$ ,  $H$ , etc.

Let  $T$  be a maximal torus of  $S$ . Let  $\Phi \subset \mathfrak{t}^*$  denote the roots of  $S$  with respect to  $T$  and let  $\{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\} \subset \Phi$  be a system of simple roots. Let  $\Phi^+$  denote the subset of positive roots, i.e., those that are positive integral combinations of the simple roots. The negative roots are denoted by  $\Phi^- = -\Phi^+$ . For any root  $\alpha \in \Phi$ , let  $e_\alpha \in \mathfrak{s}$  be the corresponding root vector,  $[x, e_\alpha] = \alpha(x)e_\alpha$  for all  $x \in \mathfrak{t}$ . We let  $B$  denote the Borel subgroup of  $S$  generated by  $T$  and the negative root groups  $\exp \mathbb{C}e_\alpha$ , for all  $\alpha \in \Phi^-$ . We may assume that  $P$  contains  $B$ .

Let  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_\ell$  be the fundamental dominant weights of  $S$  defined by  $\langle \lambda_i, \alpha_j \rangle = 2(\lambda_i, \alpha_j)/(\alpha_j, \alpha_j) = \delta_{ij}$  where  $(\ , \ )$  denotes the Killing form. Any weight  $\mu \in \mathfrak{t}^*$  can be written  $\mu = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell} \langle \mu, \alpha_i \rangle \lambda_i$ . The irreducible representation of  $S$  with highest

weight  $\mu$  is denoted by  $V(\mu)$ . If  $V$  is a finite-dimensional  $T$ -module we denote by  $\chi(V) \in \mathfrak{t}^*$  the character of  $V$ , i.e., the sum of the weights of  $V$ .

Let  $P = R_P \cdot S_P$  be a Levi decomposition of the parabolic subgroup  $P$ . We let  $\Phi_P$  denote the subsystem of roots of  $S_P$  and let  $\Phi_P^+ = \Phi_P \cap \Phi^+$ . Let  $I$  denote the subset of indexes,  $I \subset \{1, \dots, \ell\}$ , such that  $\Phi_P^+ \cap \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_\ell\} = \{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I}$ . The conjugacy class of  $P$  is uniquely determined by  $I$  and any such choice of indexes is associated to a parabolic subgroup of  $S$ .

We define  $\Phi_Y^+ = \Phi^+ \setminus \Phi_P^+$ . These roots clearly coincide with the negatives of the roots of  $R_P$ . Since  $T_Y$  is generated at the identity coset by the root vectors  $e_\alpha \in \mathfrak{s}$  for  $\alpha \in \Phi_Y^+$ , the anticanonical bundle  $K_Y^* = \bigwedge^m T_Y$ ,  $m = \dim Y$ , is the homogeneous line bundle associated to the weight

$$(1) \quad \mu_Y = \sum_{\alpha \in \Phi_Y^+} \alpha = -\chi(\mathfrak{t}_P).$$

The weight  $\mu_Y$  is dominant:  $\langle \mu_Y, \alpha_i \rangle > 0$  for  $i \notin I$ , and  $\langle \mu_Y, \alpha_i \rangle = 0$  for  $i \in I$ . In particular,  $K_Y^*$  is a very ample line bundle and  $\mu_Y$  is orthogonal to the roots  $\Phi_P^+$ . A simple formula for determining the coefficients  $\langle \mu_Y, \alpha_i \rangle$  can be found in [6]. An important component in the proof of the main theorem is the following estimate on  $\dim V(\mu_Y) = \dim H^0(Y, K_Y^*)$ .

**Theorem 1** ([8]). *Let  $Y$  be a homogeneous projective rational manifold of dimension  $m$ . Then*

$$3^m \leq \dim H^0(Y, K_Y^*) \leq \binom{2m+1}{m}$$

*with equality in the lower bound if and only if  $Y$  is a flag manifold and equality in the upper bound if and only if  $Y$  is complex projective space.*

### 3. A BOUND FOR $\dim \text{Aut}(X)$

We retain the notation of the previous section. In particular,  $X = G/H$  is a homogeneous compact complex manifold;  $G = R \cdot S$  is a Levi decomposition with  $R$  the radical of  $G$  and  $S$  semisimple;  $Y = G/N = S/P$  is the base of the normalizer fibration  $G/H \rightarrow G/N$ ;  $N = N_G(H^0) = R \cdot P$ ;  $P$  is a parabolic subgroup of  $S$  with Levi decomposition  $P = R_P \cdot S_P$ ;  $I$  is the set of indexes that give the generators  $\{\alpha_i\}_{i \in I}$  of the positive roots of  $S_P$ ;  $\mu_Y$  denotes the weight of the anticanonical bundle  $K_Y^*$  of  $Y$ , and  $\chi(V)$  denotes the character of an  $S$ -module  $V$ . The following lemma describes a well-known property of  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -modules (see, e.g., [1, p. 90]).

**Lemma 1.** *Let  $V$  be a finite-dimensional  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ -module, and let  $W$  be a proper subspace of  $V$  consisting of weight spaces. Assume that  $W$  is invariant under  $e_{-\alpha}$  where  $\alpha$  is a positive root. Then for any highest weight  $\lambda$  of  $V$ ,*

$$0 \leq \lambda(x_\alpha) \leq \chi(V/W)(x_\alpha).$$

*Proof.* Let  $\lambda_1 = \lambda$ , and let  $V = V(\lambda_1) \oplus \dots \oplus V(\lambda_t)$  be a decomposition of  $V$  into irreducible representations with highest weights  $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_t$ . Let  $W = W_1 \oplus \dots \oplus W_t$ ,  $W_i = W \cap V(\lambda_i)$ ,  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , be the corresponding decomposition of  $W$ . Since  $W_i$  is invariant under  $e_{-\alpha}$ , there is a nonnegative integer  $k_i$  such that the weights of  $V(\lambda_i)/W_i$  are  $\lambda_i, \lambda_i - \alpha, \dots, \lambda_i - k_i\alpha$ . Cancelling any negative terms with corresponding positive terms we find there is a nonnegative integer  $k'_i \leq k_i$  such that

$$\chi(V(\lambda_i)/W_i)(x_\alpha) = \lambda_i(x_\alpha) + (\lambda_i(x_\alpha) - 2) + \dots + (\lambda_i(x_\alpha) - 2k'_i)$$

with each term  $\lambda_i(x_\alpha) - 2j \geq 0$  for  $j = 0, \dots, k'_i$ . Therefore,

$$\chi(V/W)(x_\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^t \chi(V(\lambda_i)/W_i)(x_\alpha) \geq \chi(V(\lambda_1)/W_1) \geq \lambda_1(x_\alpha) \geq 0.$$

□

The next proposition provides crucial information about the weights of the representation of  $S$  on  $\mathfrak{r}$ .

**Proposition 1.**

- a)  $\chi(\mathfrak{h}) = -\mu_Y$ .
- b)  $\chi(\mathfrak{r}/\mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{h}) = \mu_Y + \chi(\mathfrak{r}_P \cap \mathfrak{h})$ .
- c)  $\chi(\mathfrak{r}/\mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{h})$  is a dominant weight whose coefficients satisfy  $\langle \chi(\mathfrak{r}/\mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{h}), \alpha_i \rangle = 0$  for  $i \in I$  and  $0 \leq \langle \chi(\mathfrak{r}/\mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{h}), \alpha_i \rangle \leq \langle \mu_Y, \alpha_i \rangle$  for  $i \notin I$ .

*Proof.* a) Since  $H/H^0$  is a uniform discrete subgroup of  $N/H^0$ , the latter group is unimodular (see, e.g., [5]). Thus,  $\chi(\mathfrak{n}/\mathfrak{h}) = 0$  and  $\chi(\mathfrak{h}) = \chi(\mathfrak{n})$ . Since  $\mathfrak{n} = \mathfrak{r} + \mathfrak{r}_P + \mathfrak{s}_P$  with  $\chi(\mathfrak{r}) = 0$  ( $\mathfrak{r}$  is an  $S$ -module),  $\chi(\mathfrak{s}_P) = 0$ , and  $\chi(\mathfrak{r}_P) = -\mu_Y$  by (1), we see that  $\chi(\mathfrak{h}) = -\mu_Y$  (compare with Lemma 1 in [1, p. 96]).

b) On the other hand,  $\chi(\mathfrak{h}) = \chi(\mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{h}) + \chi(\mathfrak{r}_P \cap \mathfrak{h}) + \chi(\mathfrak{s}_P \cap \mathfrak{h})$ . Since  $\mathfrak{s}_P \cap \mathfrak{h}$  is an ideal in  $\mathfrak{s}_P$ , it is either trivial or equals  $\mathfrak{s}_P$ , and hence its character is zero. Therefore, using a),  $\chi(\mathfrak{r}/\mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{h}) = -\chi(\mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{h}) = -\chi(\mathfrak{h}) + \chi(\mathfrak{r}_P \cap \mathfrak{h}) = \mu_Y + \chi(\mathfrak{r}_P \cap \mathfrak{h})$ .

c) Because  $\mathfrak{r}_P \cap \mathfrak{h}$  is invariant under  $\mathfrak{s}_P$ ,  $\chi(\mathfrak{r}_P \cap \mathfrak{h})(x_{\alpha_i}) = 0$  for  $i \in I$ , and therefore  $\chi(\mathfrak{r}/\mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{h})(\alpha_i) = 0$  for  $i \in I$ . To see that  $\chi(\mathfrak{r}/\mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{h})$  is dominant, let  $\alpha$  be any positive root and let  $\mathfrak{s}_\alpha$  be the Lie algebra isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{sl}_2$  generated by  $e_\alpha, e_{-\alpha}$  and  $x_\alpha = [e_\alpha, e_{-\alpha}]$ . Since  $\mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{h}$  is invariant under  $e_{-\alpha}$ , we obtain from Lemma 1 that  $\chi(\mathfrak{r}/\mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{h})(x_\alpha) \geq 0$ , and hence  $\chi(\mathfrak{r}/\mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{h})$  is dominant.

It remains to prove that  $\chi(\mathfrak{r}_P \cap \mathfrak{h})$  is a negative dominant weight, i.e., that  $\langle \chi(\mathfrak{r}_P \cap \mathfrak{h}), \alpha_i \rangle \leq 0$  for  $i \notin I$ . Let  $J = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_m\}$  be the set of weights of  $\mathfrak{r}_P \cap \mathfrak{h}$ . Then  $\chi(\mathfrak{r}_P \cap \mathfrak{h})$  is the weight of  $x_{\beta_1} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{\beta_m} \in \wedge^m \mathfrak{s}$ . For any negative root  $\alpha$ ,  $\mathfrak{r}_P \cap \mathfrak{h}$  is invariant under  $x_\alpha$ . Thus, if  $\beta_i + \alpha$  is a root, then  $\beta_i + \alpha \in J$ , so that

$$x_\alpha \cdot x_{\beta_1} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{\beta_m} = \sum_{i=1}^m c_i x_{\beta_1} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{\beta_i + \alpha} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{\beta_m} = 0.$$

Therefore,  $x_{\beta_1} \wedge \dots \wedge x_{\beta_m}$  is a lowest weight vector in  $\wedge^m \mathfrak{s}$  and  $\chi(\mathfrak{r}_P \cap \mathfrak{h})$  must be negative dominant. □

**Theorem 2.** Let  $X$  be a homogeneous compact complex manifold of dimension  $n \geq 3$ . Then

$$\dim \text{Aut}(X) \leq n^2 - 1 + \binom{2n - 1}{n - 1}.$$

*Proof.* Let  $m = \dim Y$  and  $p = \dim Z = n - m$ . Since  $S$  acts transitively on  $Y$ ,  $\dim S \leq m(m + 2)$ . To find an estimate for  $\dim R$  we decompose  $\mathfrak{r}$  into irreducible  $S$ -modules,  $\mathfrak{r} = V(\lambda_1) \oplus \dots \oplus V(\lambda_t)$ . For any positive root  $\alpha$ , Lemma 1 and Proposition 1 imply that  $0 \leq \langle \lambda_i, \alpha \rangle = \lambda_i(x_\alpha) \leq \chi(\mathfrak{r}/\mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{h})(x_\alpha) = \langle \chi(\mathfrak{r}/\mathfrak{r} \cap \mathfrak{h}), x_\alpha \rangle \leq \langle \mu_Y, \alpha \rangle$ . So  $\dim V(\lambda_i) \leq \dim V(\mu_Y)$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq t$ , by the Weyl dimension formula [4]. Therefore,  $\dim R = \sum_{i=1}^t \dim V(\lambda_i) \leq t \dim V(\mu_Y)$ . The number of irreducible components,  $t$ , cannot exceed  $p = \dim N/H$ : If  $t > p$ , then  $V(\lambda_i) \subset \mathfrak{h}$  for at least one  $i$  (since  $N = R \cdot P$ ) and the ideal generated by  $V(\lambda_i)$  in  $\mathfrak{r}$  would be an ideal

of  $\mathfrak{g}$  contained in  $\mathfrak{h}$ , contradicting the fact that  $G$  acts effectively on  $X = G/H$  (compare with Lemma 2 in [1, p. 97]). We conclude that  $\dim R \leq p \dim V(\mu_Y)$ .

Applying Theorem 1, we arrive at the estimate

$$(2) \quad \dim G = \dim S + \dim R \leq m(m+2) + (n-m) \binom{2m+1}{m}.$$

If  $m = 0$ , then  $\dim G = \dim R = n$  and if  $m = n$ , then  $\dim G = \dim S \leq n(n+2)$ . For  $n \geq 3$  and  $1 \leq m \leq n-1$ , the maximum of the right-hand side of (2) occurs for  $m = n-1$ , and this maximum always exceeds  $n(n+2)$ . Therefore,

$$\dim G \leq (n-1)(n+1) + \binom{2(n-1)+1}{n-1}.$$

□

## REFERENCES

- [1] Akhiezer, D., *Lie Group Actions in Complex Analysis*, Vieweg, Braunschweig, 1995. MR **96g**:32051
- [2] Bochner, S. and Montgomery, D., *Groups on analytic manifolds*, Ann. Math. **48** (1947), 659–669. MR **9**:174f
- [3] Borel, A. and Remmert, R., *Über kompakte homogene Kählersche Mannigfaltigkeiten*, Math. Ann. **145** (1961/1962), 429–439. MR **26**:3088
- [4] Fulton, W. and Harris, J., *Representation Theory*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg, New York, 1991. MR **93a**:20069
- [5] Raghanathan, M. S., *Discrete Subgroups of Lie Groups*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 1972. MR **58**:22394a
- [6] Snow, D., *The nef value of homogeneous line bundles and related vanishing theorems*, Forum Math. **7** (1995), 385–392. MR **96a**:14057
- [7] Snow, D. and Winkelmann, J., *Compact complex homogeneous manifolds with large automorphism groups*, Invent. Math. **134** (1998), 139–144. MR **99f**:32054
- [8] Snow, D., *Bounds for the anticanonical bundle of a homogeneous projective rational manifold*, preprint (<http://www.nd.edu/~snow>).
- [9] Tits, J., *Espaces homogènes complexes compacts*, Comment. Math. Helv. **37** (1962/1963), 111–120. MR **27**:4248

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF NOTRE DAME, NOTRE DAME, INDIANA 46556  
*E-mail address*: [snow.1@nd.edu](mailto:snow.1@nd.edu)