

Problem set 8
Economics 60303
(Due, Friday, April 25, 2013)

Bill Evans
Spring 2014

1. Suppose $x \sim N(\mu_x, \sigma_x^2)$. What is $E[x | x > a]$?
2. Suppose x and y are distributed as a bivariate normal where

$$\begin{bmatrix} x \\ y \end{bmatrix} \sim N \left(\begin{bmatrix} u_x \\ u_y \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} \sigma_x^2 & \rho\sigma_x\sigma_y \\ \rho\sigma_x\sigma_y & \sigma_y^2 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

What is $E[y | x > a]$?

3. Suppose $y_i = \ln(\text{weekly earnings}_i)$ for person i and y_i is topcoded at y^t . Assume that $y_i \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2)$. Suppose one were to estimate a Tobit model and the only covariate in the model is a constant. Show that with this model, you can estimate the underlying values of μ and σ^2 . Using `cpc87.dta`, generate `ln_weekly_earn` and run a tobit model

```
tobit ln_weekly_earn, ul
```

what are the estimates for μ and σ^2 in this case.

Next, using the results from question 1, replace the topcoded value (`ln(999)`) with $E[x | x > a]$. Estimate a regression similar to the one for the Tobit section handouts (covariates include `age`, `age2`, `education`, plus dummies for `black`, `Hispanic`, and `union`). How do these results compare to the estimates from the tobit specification?

4. Consider the following limited dependent variable model. Suppose a dichotomous outcome y_i is a function of exogenous characteristics w_i and a potentially endogenous but continuous variable x_i . The decision to engage in activity y is described by the equation

$$\begin{aligned} y_i &= 1 & \text{if } y_i^* &= \beta_0 + x_i\beta_1 + w_i\beta_2 + \varepsilon_i \geq 0 \\ y_i &= 0 & \text{if } y_i^* &= \beta_0 + x_i\beta_1 + w_i\beta_2 + \varepsilon_i < 0 \end{aligned}$$

The concern in this model is that x and y are linked through the errors where

$$x_i = \pi_0 + z_i\pi_1 + w_i\pi_2 + v_i$$

The variable z is a scalar instrument and the joint error term is defined as a bivariate normal distribution where

$$\begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_i \\ v_i \end{bmatrix} \sim N\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho\sigma \\ \rho\sigma & \sigma^2 \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

What is the log likelihood function for this maximum likelihood problem?

5. Consider a regression model that is censored from below. Let y_i^* be the true value of the outcome and if perfectly measured, the equation describing y_i^* would be

$$y_i^* = \beta_0 + x_i\beta_1 + \varepsilon_i$$

Where x_i is a scalar and we anticipate and define the estimate for $\hat{\beta}_1 > 0$. Suppose that for some reason, the data is censored from below. Define y_i to be the measured outcome and the measured value is

$$y_i = \begin{cases} y_i^* & \text{if } y_i^* > y^t \\ y^t & \text{if } y_i^* \leq y^t \end{cases}$$

The OLS estimate of β_1 using censored data is $\hat{\beta}_1^c = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})y_i}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2}$.

In this case, does censoring bias the estimates of $\hat{\beta}_1^c$ up or down? Show all your work.

6. Suppose y is a dummy outcome (0 or 1) and the equation that generates an outcome of 1 is

$$y_i = 1 \quad \text{if} \quad y_i^* = \beta_0 + x_i\beta_1 + w_i\beta_2 + \varepsilon_i \geq 0$$

Where x is also a (0-1 dummy variable) where the equation that generates an outcome of 1 is described by the equation

$$x_i = 1 \quad \text{if} \quad x_i^* = \pi_0 + z_i\pi_1 + w_i\pi_2 + v_i \geq 0$$

The equations are linked by assuming the errors are bivariate normal

$$\begin{bmatrix} \varepsilon_i \\ v_i \end{bmatrix} \sim N\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho \\ \rho & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

The likelihood function for person i is given by

$$L_i = \Pr(y_i = 1, x_i = 1)^{y_i x_i} \Pr(y_i = 1, x_i = 0)^{y_i (1-x_i)} \Pr(y_i = 0, x_i = 1)^{(1-y_i) x_i} \Pr(y_i = 0, x_i = 0)^{(1-y_i)(1-x_i)}$$

Let $g(\varepsilon_i, v_i; \rho)$ be the bivariate normal pdf and the CDF is defined as

$$G(a, b) = \int_{-\infty}^a \int_{-\infty}^b g(\varepsilon_i, v_i; \rho) dv_i d\varepsilon_i$$

Note that $(y_i = 0, x_i = 0)$ will only occur if $\varepsilon_i < -\beta_0 - x_i\beta_1 - w_i\beta_2 = a_i^c$ and $v_i < -\pi_0 - z_i\pi_1 - w_i\pi_2 = b_i^c$.

Any quality programming language will provide you a function to evaluate the bivariate normal (BVN) CDF and hence, it would be trivial to calculate $\Pr(y_i = 0, x_i = 0)$ as

$$\Pr(\varepsilon_i < a_i^c, v_i < b_i^c) = G(a_i^c, b_i^c)$$

given candidate values for the parameters. However, given the BVN function $G(\bullet, \bullet)$ only evaluates the CDF, how would you evaluate

$$\Pr(y_i = 1, x_i = 0) = \Pr(\varepsilon_i \geq a_i^c, v_i < b_i^c) = ?$$

$$\Pr(y_i = 0, x_i = 1) = \Pr(\varepsilon_i < a_i^c, v_i \geq b_i^c) = ?$$

$$\Pr(y_i = 1, x_i = 1) = \Pr(\varepsilon_i \geq a_i^c, v_i \geq b_i^c) = ?$$

Computer portion of the problem set

Limited dependent variable models are thought to do a better job of describing the data generating process than least-squares models. In this problem, we are going to do some simulations that compare outcomes from a simultaneous equation system that explicitly models the limited nature of variables with a 2SLS model where we ignore the data generating process and estimate the model by least squares.

The equation of interest can be written in the following form:

$$y_i = \beta_0 + x_i\beta_1 + w_i\beta_2 + \varepsilon_i$$

where y_i and w_i are continuous, and x_i is a dummy variable. The concern is that $\text{cov}(x_i, \varepsilon_i) \neq 0$. The selection equation that describes the realization of the dichotomous variable x_i can be described as follows

$$\begin{aligned} x_i &= 1 \quad \text{if} \quad x_i^* = \gamma_0 + z_i\gamma_1 + w_i\gamma_2 + v_i \geq 0 \\ x_i &= 0 \quad \text{if} \quad x_i^* = \gamma_0 + z_i\gamma_1 + w_i\gamma_2 + v_i < 0 \end{aligned}$$

where z_i is the identifying variable (e.g., the instrument in 2SLS models) that identifies selection into treatment that is not in the equation of interest. In this case, z_i is a dummy variable.

$$\begin{bmatrix} v_i \\ \varepsilon_i \end{bmatrix} \sim N \left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho\sigma \\ \rho\sigma & \sigma^2 \end{bmatrix} \right)$$

This model can easily be estimated via maximum likelihood by the mis-measured treatment effect model in STATA

```
treatreg y w, treat(x=w z)
```

I have generated a data set called problemset8c.dta that contains 45,000 observations for w and z. What I want you to do is write a program that does the following

1. Given ρ and σ , generate draws to v_i and ε_i . In all these problems, let $\sigma=22$
2. Given v_i , produce a value for x_i^* that equals $x_i^* = -1.6 + (0.18)z_i + (0.04)w_i + v_i$
3. Given x_i^* produce $x_i = 1$ if $x_i^* \geq 0$ and $x_i = 0$ otherwise
4. Given x_i , ε_i and w_i produce and estimate for y_i that equals $y_i = -3 - 6x_i + w_i + \varepsilon_i$
5. Estimate the equation for y_i three ways
 - a. OLS
 - b. 2SLS
 - c. The treatment effect model by MLE
6. For each model in 5, save the coefficient on x and the t-statistic (or z-score), plus for model 5c, save rho.
7. For each ρ generate 100 synthetic samples
8. Do steps 1-7 for values of ρ equal to 0.5, 0.25, 0, -0.25, -0.5

I want you to fill in the following table with the averages across the 100 samples for the 5 sets of simulations.

Value of ρ	OLS		2SLS		Treatment effect model		
	Average $\hat{\beta}_1$	Average t-stat on $\hat{\beta}_1$	Average $\hat{\beta}_1$	Average t-stat on $\hat{\beta}_1$	Average $\hat{\beta}_1$	Average z-score on $\hat{\beta}_1$	Average $\hat{\rho}$
0.50							
0.25							
0.00							
-0.25							
-0.50							

Given the results in this table, what does this say about the ability of 2SLS to produce the appropriate estimate of $\hat{\beta}_1$. In what cases is it more appropriate to estimate MLE versus 2SLS models?

Here are some helpful programming hints. First, how do you draw random errors from a bivariate normal distribution? Remember that given a random $(k \times 1)$ vector β with a $(k \times k)$ V/C matrix of Σ , a set of linear restrictions $(q \times k)$ R such that $r=R\beta$ will produce a $(q \times q)$ V/C matrix for r of $R\Sigma R'$. Note that any

positive definite matrix can be written in lower Cholesky form $\Sigma = PP'$. Consider a (2x2) V/C matrix that can be written as

$$\begin{bmatrix} \sigma_1^2 & \rho\sigma_1\sigma_2 \\ \rho\sigma_1\sigma_2 & \sigma_2^2 \end{bmatrix} = \Sigma_1 = PP' = \begin{bmatrix} l_{11} & 0 \\ l_{21} & l_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} l_{11} & l_{21} \\ 0 & l_{22} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} l_{11}^2 & l_{11}l_{21} \\ l_{11}l_{21} & l_{21}^2 + l_{22}^2 \end{bmatrix}$$

Consider two random variables that are standard normal but independent

$$\xi = \begin{bmatrix} \xi_{1i} \\ \xi_{2i} \end{bmatrix} \sim N\left(\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}, \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}\right)$$

By definition, $P\xi$ has a mean of $\begin{bmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$ and a V/C matrix $PI_2P' = PP' = \Sigma_1$. Note then that $P\xi$ can be written as

$$P\xi = \begin{bmatrix} l_{11} & 0 \\ l_{21} & l_{22} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \xi_{1i} \\ \xi_{2i} \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} l_{11}\xi_{1i} \\ l_{21}\xi_{1i} + l_{22}\xi_{2i} \end{bmatrix}$$

So, draw two independent standard normal random variables ξ_{1i} and ξ_{2i} . Let $v_i = l_{11}\xi_{1i}$ and

$\varepsilon_i = l_{21}\xi_{1i} + l_{22}\xi_{2i}$. All you have to do is given the V/C matrix for this case $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & \rho\sigma \\ \rho\sigma & \sigma^2 \end{bmatrix}$ figure out what $l_{11}, l_{21},$ and l_{22} are.

Second, when you estimate the treatment effect model, you can output the beta and z-score for x and the rho for the model via the following text

```
treatreg y w, treat(x=w z)
local b2_te=_b[x];
local t2_te=_b[x] / _se[x]
local rho=e(rho);
```

Just a note, on my computer it took about 35 minutes to do 100 draws for $\rho=0.25$, so give yourself enough time to estimate these models.