

**Replication Exercise**  
**ECOE 60303**  
**Due in Hardcopy, Friday, April 18, 2014, by Noon**

**Bill Evans**  
**Spring 2014**

In 1982, the *Journal of Money Credit and Banking (JMCB)* adopted a policy that required authors to provide data and computer programs upon request to researchers. The goal of the policy was to allow scholars to more easily replicate published work. In 1986, the *American Economic Review* published an article from the *JMCB Data Storage and Evaluation Project* where the authors attempted to replicate multiple articles published in the *JMCB* with data obtained through their replication policy. The results of the exercise were less than encouraging for our profession in that the authors concluded “...inadvertent errors in published empirical articles are a commonplace rather than a rare occurrence.” (Dewald, Thursbury and Anderson, 1986).

As a result of the *JMCB* data replication project, the *American Economic Review* adopted a policy requiring authors to provide data and computer code to interested researchers. Since then, the *AER* policy has been expanded and authors are required to upload computer code and data on a server prior to publication so that others can easily replicate their work. This same policy has been adopted by the *Journal of Political Economy*, the *Review of Economics and Statistics*, the *Review of Economic Studies*, and all of the new *AEJ* journals.

Replication can take many forms. In the physical sciences where laboratory experiments are common, replications can include trying to reproduce the results of an existing experiment. In the social sciences where experiments are not as frequent, replication usually takes one of two forms. The first exercise examines the external validity of results by re-estimating the same model on different samples, in different countries, in different time periods, etc. Another type is where researchers attempt to reproduce a set of results from the same sample. In this assignment, I want you to perform this latter exercise.

Replications exercises are an important part of the scientific process in the physical sciences but they are less commonplace in social sciences where primary data collection through experimentation is not a critical element of most research programs. More importantly, it is hard to get replications published in journals so authors are discouraged from working on these exercises. In many cases, however, replications have detected some significant limitations and outright errors in work. Some of the more famous recent examples in our profession are: McCrary, *American Economic Review*, 2002; Rothstein, *American Economic Review*, 2007; Foote and Goetz, *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 2008; Minarik, *Quarterly Journal of Economics*, 1984; Albouy, *American Economic Review*, 2012, and my personal favorite, Kahn and Udry, *American Sociological Review*, 1986.

Replications are also a great pedagogical tool. For those new to a data set or a computer program, they are a great way to learn about a subject matter since one has a fixed target to work towards. Many times when I begin working on a new data set, I try to generate a set of results on a topic I know something about, just to get familiar with the data.

Your assignment is to select an article that utilized publicly-available data and attempt to replicate the results of the analysis. You are to return to original sources for data and start the replication exercise from scratch. Download the data and write your own program to extract the analysis samples. Start small. Try to match sample sizes and means first before jumping to regression models. In many cases, the authors may have different samples, different data sets, etc. Focus on one set of results rather replicating all the numbers in the paper.

Do not pick articles where the authors collected original data for the project, such as Card and Krueger's work on the minimum wage or Bertrand and Mulinathan's work on discrimination against people with African American names. Use data sets like the Census Public Use Micro Samples or the Current Population Survey.

How do you choose what article to replicate? First, pick a paper from your field of interest or one as close to your expected dissertation topic as possible. Don't treat this like some medicine you have to take, try to make this a learning experience. Second select an article in a top journal or a famous article. The personal and professional return from replicating results published in a third-tier journal or a paper no one reads are substantially lower than if you look at papers published in the top five journals. Hunt rhinos, not possums. What are top journals? On the final page of this handout is a list of what can reasonably be defined as the top 10 percent of journals in economics. I would prefer you select an article from the top two categories of journals. Once you have a candidate article, please look up the article on Google scholar. The article should have at least 200 citations. If it has substantially less (and it has been published for a while), not enough people find the article of interest to spend your precious time on the project.

Please send me a list of possible articles and we can narrow the selection down from there. One final caveat: don't replicate the work of someone in our department.

Your write-up should include up to 15 pages of double-spaced text (1 inch margins, 12 point font) that outlines the goal of the original paper, what data set was used, a short description of the analysis sample and any sample selection restrictions employed by the author, plus a short description of your attempts to replicate the results. Highlight key decisions the author may have made (how they selected respondents, deleted outliers, etc.) and whether the results were sensitive to these key assumptions. You should also describe and estimate one additional model you think the authors should have included in their analysis. Explain why they should have estimated this model. You should provide as many tables as necessary comparing your results to those published in the journal and these do not count against the 15 page limit. You should also attach to the paper the programming code (not output) that produced your results. The text should not be in

outline form but rather written as if you were submitting the paper to a journal. I will subtract points for poor exposition and grammatical errors.

You are to work on the project alone but you can ask colleagues for programming advice or for some background information about your data set.

### **A timetable for completion.**

In the past few years, many students have pushed the replication exercise off until the end of the semester. This has meant that a) many students have done a poor job of replicating, and b) the text accompanying the replication has been atrocious as students have not had adequate time to document their work. To reduce the probability this occurs this semester, I have identified 5 guideposts listed below. Students are expected to meet each of these guideposts and for every guidepost missed, the final letter grade on the project falls by a third (A to A-. A- to B+, etc.).

1. Friday, January 31: Have paper for replication selected and approved by me.
2. Friday, February 14: Downloaded all the necessary data for the project and have read the data into STATA format. You can verify this by sending me the URL where you downloaded the data and a description of the contents of the data file.
3. Friday, March 7: Provide a table of means comparing your sample to the original sample.
4. Friday, March 28: A complete set of tables comparing your estimates to the ones in the original paper.
5. Friday, April 11: The title page, abstract and introduction to the paper.

The final project is due by Noon, Friday, April 18. For each day the paper is late, the final letter grade falls by a third. There will be no deadline extensions.

### **Some publicly available data sources commonly used in economics.**

**ICPSR** ([www.icpsr.org](http://www.icpsr.org)) is a user supported data repository at the University of Michigan that contains thousands of data sets. Most major universities subscribe to ICPSR and data is downloadable from the web site after registration.

**Census Public Use Micro Samples** (1 and 5 percent cross-sectional samples of the U.S. in census years) [www.ipums.org](http://www.ipums.org) (requires registration but it is free)

**Current Population Survey** (Monthly labor market survey of about 60K households) [http://www.nber.org/data/cps\\_index.html](http://www.nber.org/data/cps_index.html).

**Annual Demographic File (March) from the Current Population Survey** (The March CPS contains detailed questions about work experience and insurance coverage in the previous year). The data have been harmonized across years and can be found at [www.ipums.org](http://www.ipums.org).

**National Health Interview Surveys:** (Annual survey of 60k households, designed to measure the stock of health in the U.S.) available at [www.ipums.org](http://www.ipums.org)

**National Health and Nutrition Examination Surveys** (Detailed survey of the health of about 14K people including physical exams and many diagnostic tests) <http://www.cdc.gov/nchs/nhanes.htm>

**Mortality and Natality detail data** (Census of all birth and death certificates in the U.S.) <http://www.nber.org/data/>

**National Longitudinal Survey of Youth, 1979 and 1997** (longitudinal data of young adults) <http://www.bls.gov/nls/>

**Panel Study of Income Dynamics (PSID)** (Longitudinal data set of 5000 families beginning in 1969) <http://psidonline.isr.umich.edu/>

**Indonesian Family Life Survey (IFLS)** (A longitudinal data set of 7200 families from Indonesia. Recent waves have interesting biomarker data). <http://www.rand.org/labor/FLS/IFLS/>

**Survey of Consumer Finance (SCF)** (Cross sectional surveys done in 1989, 1992, 1995, 1998, 2001, and 2004 By the Federal Reserve Board of Governors, designed to measure the balance sheet, pensions, wealth and income of US households) <http://www.federalreserve.gov/pubs/oss/oss2/2004/scf2004home.html#scfdata2004>

## **The Top 40 Journals in Economics – Roughly the top 10% of Journals**

Tier 1: The top general interest journals in economics (5 journals) [alphabetical order]

- American Economic Review
- Econometrica
- Journal of Political Economy
- Quarterly Journal of Economics
- Review of Economic Studies

Tier 2: Second tier general interest journals and the very best specialty field journals (13 journals)

- Economic Journal
- European Economic Review
- International Economic Review
- Journal of Economic Literature
- Journal of Economic Perspectives
- Journal of Econometrics
- Journal of Economic Theory
- Journal of Finance
- Journal of International Economics
- Journal of Monetary Economics
- Journal of Public Economics
- RAND Journal of Economics
- Review of Economics and Statistics

Tier 3: Excellent field-specific journals (22 journals)

- American Economic Journal: Applied Economics
- American Economic Journal: Economic Policy
- American Economic Journal: Microeconomics
- American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics
- Brookings Papers on Economic Activity
- Games and Economic Behavior
- Journal of Applied Econometrics
- Journal of Business and Economic Statistics
- Journal of Economic Behavior and Organizations
- Journal of Economic Growth
- Journal of Economics and Dynamic Control
- Journal of Environmental Economics and Management
- Journal of the European Economics Association
- Journal of Development Economics
- Journal of Health Economics
- Journal of Human Resources
- Journal of Industrial Economics
- Journal of Labor Economics
- Journal of Law and Economics
- Journal of Money Credit and Banking
- Journal of Urban Economics
- NBER Macro Annual