

Suggested Answers, Problem Set 1
ECON 30331

Bill Evans
Spring 2018

1. a.
$$\int_{50}^{100} \frac{dx}{50} = \frac{x}{50} \Big|_{50}^{100} = \frac{100-50}{50} = 1$$

b.
$$\Pr(x \leq 65) + \Pr(X > 90) = \int_{50}^{65} \frac{dx}{50} + \int_{90}^{100} \frac{dx}{50} = \frac{x}{50} \Big|_{50}^{65} + \frac{x}{50} \Big|_{90}^{100} =$$

$$\frac{65-50}{50} + \frac{100-90}{50} = 0.30 + 0.20 = 0.50$$

2. a.
$$\Pr(x \leq a) = \int_0^a \beta e^{-\beta x} dx. \text{ Let } -\beta x = u \text{ and } -\beta dx = du$$

$$\Pr(x \leq a) = \int_0^a -e^u du = -e^u \Big|_0^a = -e^{-\beta x} \Big|_0^a = -e^{-\beta a} - -e^0 = 1 - e^{-\beta a}$$

b.
$$\Pr(x \leq a) = 1 - e^{-\beta a} \text{ if } \beta = 0.001 \text{ and } a = 500$$

$$\Pr(x \leq 500) = 1 - e^{-0.001(500)} = 1 - e^{-0.5} = 0.393$$

c.
$$\Pr(x > 1500) = 1 - \Pr(x \leq 1500) = 1 - (1 - e^{-0.001(1500)}) = e^{-0.001(1500)} = e^{-1.5} = 0.223$$

3. a. $\text{Prob}(Z \leq 0.35) = 0.6368$

b. $\text{Prob}(Z > -1.50) = 1 - \text{Prob}(Z \leq -1.5) = 1 - 0.0669 = 0.9332$

a. $\text{Prob}(-1.22 < z \leq 0.57) = \text{Prob}(z \leq 0.57) - \text{Prob}(z \leq -1.22) = 0.7157 - 0.1112 = 0.6045$

4. Let s be snowfall

$$s \sim N(\mu, \sigma^2) = N(64, 12^2)$$

a.
$$\Pr(r \leq 12) = \Pr\left(z = \frac{s - \mu}{\sigma} \leq \frac{12 - \mu}{\sigma}\right) = \Pr\left(z \leq \frac{12 - 64}{12}\right) = \Pr(z \leq -4.33) = \Phi(-4.33) = 0.000$$

It is essentially zero

b.
$$\Pr(r > 80) = 1 - \Pr(r \leq 80) = 1 - \Pr\left(z = \frac{r - \mu}{\sigma} \leq \frac{80 - \mu}{\sigma}\right) = 1 - \Pr\left(z \leq \frac{80 - 64}{12}\right)$$

$$= 1 - \Pr(z \leq 1.33) = 1 - \Phi(1.33) = 1 - 0.9082 = 0.0918$$

5. If you plot out the points, you will see that the points lie along a positively-sloped straight line, so X perfectly predicts Y and vice versa. This means that the estimated correlation coefficient $\hat{\rho}$ must equal 1.

6. $I = a + b\text{GPA} + c\text{LSAT} = 15\text{GPA} + \text{LSAT}$
 $a=0, b=800, c=1$

$$\mu_g = 3.2 \text{ and } \mu_{\text{last}} = 150 \quad \sigma_g = 1.2 \text{ and } \sigma_s = \rho_{gs} = 0.5 \quad \sigma_{gs} = \rho_{gs} \sigma_g \sigma_s$$

$$E[I] = 15 \mu_g + \mu_{\text{last}} = 15(3.2) + 150 = 198$$

$$\text{Var}(I) = b^2 \sigma_g^2 + c^2 \sigma_{\text{last}}^2 + 2bc\rho_{gl}$$

Because I did not give you σ_{gl} but instead, I provided ρ_{gl} , you must use the fact that $\sigma_{gs} = \rho_{gs} \sigma_g \sigma_s$

$$\text{Var}(I) = 15^2 (1.2)^2 + (1^2)(5)^2 + 2(15)(1)(1.2)(5)(0.5) = 439$$

- 7.
- $\Pr(\text{Died}) = 0.556$
 - $\Pr(\text{Was wearing a helmet}) = 0.496$
 - $\Pr(\text{Died} \mid \text{Was wearing a helmet}) = \Pr(D \mid W) = \Pr(D \cap W) / \Pr(W)$
 $= 0.213 / 0.496 = 0.429$
 - $\Pr(\text{Died} \mid \text{Was not wearing a helmet}) = \Pr(D \mid \sim W) = \Pr(D \cap \sim W) / \Pr(\sim W)$
 $= 0.343 / 0.504 = 0.681$
 - The probability of death in a severe crash falls from 0.681 to 0.429 when wearing a helmet. This is a $(0.429 - 0.681) / 0.681 = -0.37$ or a 37% reduction in the risk

If you look at the NHTSA document I linked to in the problem set, it notes that the chance of mortality falls by 37% for someone wearing a helmet.

8. In this case, $\bar{x} = 18$ and $s = 8$ and $n = 25$. The 95% confidence interval for μ is:

$$\mu = \bar{x} \pm t_{(n-1)0.025} s / n^{0.5}$$

$$t_{(n-1)0.025} = t_{(15)0.025} = 2.064$$

$$\mu = \bar{x} \pm t_{(n-1)0.025} s / n^{0.5} = 18 \pm 2.064(8/25^{0.5}) = 18 \pm 3.30 = [14.7, 21.03]$$

$$H_0: \mu = 21$$

Using the confidence interval, we see that 21 is within the confidence interval so we cannot reject the null that the company's statement is correct.

9. a. The 95% confidence interval is

$$d = \Delta \pm t_{(n_1+n_2-2)} s_p [(1/n_1) + (1/n_2)]^{0.5}$$

$$t_{(20+20-2)0.025} = t_{(38)0.025} = 2.024$$

$$s_p^2 = [(n_1-1)s_w^2 + (n_2-1)s_1^2] / (n_1+n_2-1) = [19*12^2 + 19*8^2] / 38 = 104$$

$$s_p = 10.2$$

$$((1/n_1) + (1/n_2))^{0.5} = ((1/20) + (1/20))^{0.5} = 0.316$$

$$x_1 - x_2 = -16 - -7 = -9$$

$$d = \Delta \pm t_{(n_1+n_2-2)} s_p [(1/n_1) + (1/n_2)]^{0.5} = -9 \pm 2.024(10.2)(0.316) = -9 \pm 6.3 = [-15.53, -2.47]$$

- b. Since 0 is not in the confidence interval, we can reject the null that the weight loss is the same in the two programs.
- c. The t-ratio for this problem is $t = |(\Delta - d) / (se(\Delta))|$. Recall that the $se(\Delta) = s_p [(1/n_1) + (1/n_2)]^{0.5} = 10.2 * 0.316 = 3.22$, so $t = |(\Delta - d) / (se(\Delta))| = |(-16 - -7) / 3.22| = 2.795$ which falls above the 99% critical value of 2.712. So in this case, we can still reject the null.
10. Given a linear combination of random variables, $Z = a + bY_1 + cY_2$, the handout demonstrates that $Var(Z) = b^2Var(Y_1) + c^2Var(Y_2) + 2bcCov(Y_1, Y_2)$. In this case $Z = Y_1 + Y_2$ so $a=0$, $b=1$ and $c=1$. We are also given the fact that Y_1 and Y_2 have the same variance, σ_y^2 , plus Y_1 and Y_2 are independent so we also know that $Cov(Y_1, Y_2) = \sigma_{12} = 0$. Plugging all these values into the equation above, $Var(Z) = 2\sigma_y^2$.
11. Given the results above, if $Z = Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 + \dots + Y_n$ and each value Y_i is independent of Y_j , then $Var(Z) = Var(Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 + \dots + Y_n) = Var(Y_1) + Var(Y_2) + \dots + Var(Y_n) = n\sigma_y^2$.