The Consistency of OLS Estimates ECON 30331

Bill Evans Fall 2008

Model: (1) $y_i = \beta_0 + x_i \beta_1 + \varepsilon_i$

We've demonstrated in class that the estimate for $\hat{\beta}_1$

(2)
$$\hat{\beta}_1 = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \overline{y})(x_i - \overline{x})}{\sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2}$$

Recalling the properties of summations, note that numerator can be written as

(3)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_i - \overline{y})(x_i - \overline{x}) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_i(x_i - \overline{x})$$

Note further that the true relationship between y and x is given by equation (1) above. Substituting (1) into the numerator of (2) generates

(4)
$$\hat{\beta}_{1} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (y_{i} - \overline{y})(x_{i} - \overline{x})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}(x_{i} - \overline{x})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2}} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\beta_{0} + \beta_{1}x_{i} + \varepsilon_{i})(x_{i} - \overline{x})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2}}$$

Break apart the terms in the numerator

(5)
$$\hat{\beta}_{1} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{0}(x_{i} - \overline{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_{1}x_{i}(x_{i} - \overline{x}) + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i}(x_{i} - \overline{x})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2}}$$

We can simplify the terms in (5) using the properties of summations:

In the first term in the numerator, note that β_0 is a constant and can be pulled outside the summation. As a result, we have the summation of a deviation from a mean, which equals zero

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_0(x_i - \overline{x}) = \beta_0 \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x}) = \beta_0(0) = 0$$

In the second term in the numerator, β_1 is a constant and it can pulled outside the

summation. Recall also that
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x})^2 = \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i (x_i - \overline{x})$$
 so
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \beta_1 x_i (x_i - \overline{x}) = \beta_1 \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i (x_i - \overline{x}) = \beta_1 \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x})^2$$

The first term in the numerator drops out, the second term reduces to β_1 and therefore, we can write the OLS estimate for $\hat{\beta}_1$ as

(6)
$$\hat{\beta}_{1} = \beta_{1} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i}(x_{i} - \overline{x})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2}}$$

Divide the numerator and denominator on the right hand side of (6) by (n-1)

(7)
$$\hat{\beta}_{1} = \beta_{1} + \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i}(x_{i} - \overline{x})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} - \overline{x})^{2}} = \beta_{1} + \frac{\hat{\sigma}_{\varepsilon x}}{\hat{\sigma}_{x}^{2}}$$

Note that the numerator in the middle of (7) is the sample covariance of x and ε while the denominator is the sample variance of x. Re-write (7) to read. Now, what happens to the estimate $\hat{\beta}_1$ when the sample size increases.

(8)
$$p \lim(\hat{\beta}_1) = \beta_1 + p \lim\left[\frac{\hat{\sigma}_{\varepsilon x}}{\hat{\sigma}_x^2}\right]$$

As $n \to \infty$, we know that $p \lim(\hat{\sigma}_{\varepsilon x}) = \sigma_{\varepsilon x}$ and $p \lim(\hat{\sigma}_{x}^{2}) = \sigma_{x}^{2}$, that is, $\hat{\sigma}_{\varepsilon x}$ is a consistent estimate of $\sigma_{\varepsilon x}$ and $\hat{\sigma}_{x}^{2}$ is a consistent estimate of σ_{x}^{2} . If we maintain the assumption that in large samples, $\sigma_{\varepsilon x} = 0$, then

(9)
$$p \lim(\hat{\beta}_1) = \beta_1 + p \lim\left[\frac{\hat{\sigma}_{\varepsilon x}}{\hat{\sigma}_x^2}\right] = \beta_1 + \left[\frac{\sigma_{\varepsilon x}}{\sigma_x^2}\right] = \beta_1 + \left[\frac{0}{\sigma_x^2}\right] = \beta_1$$

Therefore, $\hat{\beta}_1$ is a consistent estimate.