## Measurement Error in X ECON 30331

Bill Evans Fall 2008

Model: (1)  $y_i = \beta_0 + x_i \beta_1 + \varepsilon_i$ 

We are going to simplify the model and assume that  $\beta_0=0$ . Therefore, we can write the model as

(2) 
$$y_i = x_i \beta_1 + \varepsilon_i$$

You can easily demonstrate for yourself that the estimate for  $\hat{\beta}_1$  will be

(3) 
$$\hat{\beta}_{1} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} x_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2}}$$

We demonstrated this on problem set 3.

Now, assume that x is measured with some error. Let  $x_i$  represent the true value of x and let  $x_i^*$  be the measured value of x where  $x_i^* = x_i + v_i$ . The variable  $v_i$  is a random error with  $E[v_i]=0$ ,  $V[v_i]=\sigma_v^2$  and  $v_i$  is uncorrelated with both x and  $\varepsilon$ , so  $cov(v_i, x_i) = cov(v_i, \varepsilon_i) = 0$ .

If we use  $x_i^*$  in the regression instead of  $x_i$  the OLS estimate for  $\hat{\beta}_1$  will now be

(4) 
$$\hat{\beta}_{1}^{*} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i} x_{i}^{*}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i}^{*})^{2}}$$

To find the true underlying properties of the estimate, we must substitute two values in equation (4). First, in the numerator, we must substitute in the true value for y, given by equation (2). Next, we must substitute the true value for  $x_i^*$  given by  $x_i^* = x_i + v_i$ 

(5) 
$$\hat{\beta}_{1}^{*} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\beta_{1}x_{i} + \varepsilon_{1})(x_{i} + v_{i})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i} + v_{i})^{2}}$$

Complete the squares in the numerator and in the denominator

(6) 
$$\hat{\beta}_{1}^{*} = \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\beta_{1}x_{i}^{2} + \beta_{1}x_{i}v_{i} + \varepsilon_{i}x_{i} + \varepsilon_{i}v_{i})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_{i}^{2} + 2x_{i}v_{i} + v_{i}^{2})}$$

And breaking apart the terms in the numerator and denominator

(7) 
$$\hat{\beta}_{1}^{*} = \frac{\beta_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} + \beta_{1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} v_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} x_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \varepsilon_{i} v_{i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}^{2} + 2 \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i} v_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n} v_{i}^{2}}$$

Note that we can divide each term in the numerator and denominator by (n-1).

(7) 
$$\hat{\beta}_{1}^{*} = \frac{\left[\beta_{1}\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}^{2} + \beta_{1}\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}v_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\varepsilon_{i}x_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n}\varepsilon_{i}v_{i}\right]/(n-1)}{\left[\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}^{2} + 2\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}v_{i} + \sum_{i=1}^{n}v_{i}^{2}\right]/(n-1)}$$

Now let's make some substitutions. Recall that the definition of the sample variance of x is

$$\hat{\sigma}_x^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \overline{x})^2$$

But recall also that we assumed that  $\overline{x} = 0$  therefore

$$\hat{\sigma}_x^2 = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i^2$$

Likewise, recall that the sample covariance between x and  $\varepsilon$  is by definition

$$\hat{\sigma}_{x\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x}) (\varepsilon_i - \overline{\varepsilon})$$

Which using the properties of summations we can write as

$$\hat{\sigma}_{x\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (x_i - \overline{x}) \varepsilon_i$$

But recall also that we assumed that  $\overline{x} = 0$  therefore

$$\hat{\sigma}_{x\varepsilon} = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_i \varepsilon_i$$

Using these same arguments, it is easy to show that

$$\frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n}v_{i}^{2} = \hat{\sigma}_{v}^{2} \text{ and } \frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n}x_{i}v_{i} = \hat{\sigma}_{xv} \text{ and } \frac{1}{n-1}\sum_{i=1}^{n}\varepsilon_{i}v_{i} = \hat{\sigma}_{\varepsilon x}$$

Substituting all these values into equation (7), we obtain

(8) 
$$\hat{\beta}_{1}^{*} = \frac{\beta_{1}\hat{\sigma}_{x}^{2} + \beta_{1}\hat{\sigma}_{vx} + \hat{\sigma}_{x\varepsilon} + \hat{\sigma}_{v\varepsilon}}{\hat{\sigma}_{x}^{2} + 2\hat{\sigma}_{v\varepsilon} + \hat{\sigma}_{v}^{2}}$$

Note one thing about equation (8). There are two random variables in the model –  $\varepsilon$  and v. We cannot take the expected value of ratios of random variables, so we cannot identify whether  $\hat{\beta}_1$  is unbiased. Therefore, we can only examine the consistency of  $\hat{\beta}_1$ .

Now, let's take the plim of  $\hat{\beta}_1$ . When the sample size grows  $(n \to \infty)$ , we know that each of the variances and covariances in (8) are consistent estimates, and therefore

$$p \lim(\hat{\sigma}_{x}^2) = \sigma_x^2$$
 and  $p \lim(\hat{\sigma}_{xy}) = \sigma_{xy}$  and  $p \lim(\hat{\sigma}_{x\varepsilon}) = \sigma_{x\varepsilon}$ , etc

Therefore,

(9) 
$$p \lim(\hat{\beta}_1^*) = \frac{\beta_1 \sigma_x^2 + \beta_1 \sigma_{vx} + \sigma_{x\varepsilon} + \sigma_{v\varepsilon}}{\sigma_x^2 + 2\sigma_{v\varepsilon} + \sigma_v^2}$$

Recall from above that we assumed  $\sigma_{xv} = \sigma_{v\varepsilon} = 0$  and we always assume  $\sigma_{x\varepsilon} = 0$  which means (9) reduces to

(10) 
$$p \lim(\hat{\beta}_1^*) = \frac{\beta_1 \sigma_x^2}{\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_v^2} = \beta_1 \left( \frac{\sigma_x^2}{\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_v^2} \right)$$

The ratio  $\left(\frac{\sigma_x^2}{\sigma_x^2 + \sigma_y^2}\right) = \theta$  is called the reliability ratio. It represents the fraction of the variance

in  $x_i^*$  that is due to the true variance in x. In equation (10) notice that with any measurement error  $0 \le \theta \le 1$  and  $p \lim(\hat{\beta}_1^*) = \beta_1 \theta < \beta_1$ . Therefore, as  $\sigma_v^2$  increases, the measurement error in  $x_i^*$  increases and  $p \lim(\hat{\beta}_1^*)$  declines – in the limit, as  $(n \to \infty)$   $\hat{\beta}_1$  will not converge to the true value when there is measurement error in x.