7.4 Relaxation Techniques for Solving Linear Systems

Definition 7.23 Suppose $\widetilde{x} \in R^n$ is an approximation to the solution of the linear system defined by Ax = b. The **residual vector** for \widetilde{x} with respect to this system is $r = b - A\widetilde{x}$.

Objective of accelerating convergence: Let residual vector converge to 0 rapidly.

In Gauss-Seidel method, we first associate with each calculation of an approximate component:

$$\mathbf{x}_i^{(k)} \equiv (x_1^{(k)}, x_2^{(k)}, \dots, x_{i-1}^{(k)}, x_i^{(k-1)}, \dots, x_n^{(k-1)})^t$$

to the solution a residual vector

$$\mathbf{r}_{i}^{(k)} = (r_{1i}^{(k)}, r_{2i}^{(k)}, \dots, r_{ni}^{(k)})^{t}$$

The *i*th component of $r_i^{(k)}$ is

$$r_{ii}^{(k)} = b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \left(a_{ij} x_j^{(k)} \right) - \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \left(a_{ij} x_j^{(k-1)} \right) - a_{ii} x_i^{(k-1)}$$
 Eq. (1)

SO

$$a_{ii}x_i^{(k-1)} + r_{ii}^{(k)} = b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \left(a_{ij}x_j^{(k)}\right) - \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \left(a_{ij}x_j^{(k-1)}\right).$$

Also, $x_i^{(k)}$ is computed by

$$x_i^{(k)} = \frac{1}{a_{ii}} \left[b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} (a_{ij} x_j^{(k)}) - \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} (a_{ij} x_j^{(k-1)}) \right]$$
 Eq. (2)

Therefore

$$a_{ii}x_i^{(k-1)} + r_{ii}^{(k)} = a_{ii}x_i^{(k)}$$

Gauss-Seidel method is characterized by

$$x_i^{(k)} = x_i^{(k-1)} + \frac{r_{ii}^{(k)}}{a_{ii}}$$
 Eq. (3)

Now consider the residual vector $\mathbf{r}_{i+1}^{(k)}$ associated with the vector $\mathbf{x}_{i+1}^{(k)} = (x_1^{(k)}, x_2^{(k)}, \dots, x_i^{(k)}, x_{i+1}^{(k-1)}, \dots, x_n^{(k-1)})^t$

The *i*th component of $r_{i+1}^{(k)}$ is

$$r_{i,i+1}^{(k)} = b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \left(a_{ij} x_j^{(k)} \right) - \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \left(a_{ij} x_j^{(k-1)} \right) - a_{ii} x_i^{(k)}$$

By Eq. (2), $r_{i,i+1}^{(k)} = 0$.

Idea of Successive Over-Relaxation (SOR) (technique to accelerate convergence)

Modify Eq. (3) to

$$x_i^{(k)} = x_i^{(k-1)} + \omega \frac{r_{ii}^{(k)}}{a_{ii}}$$
 Eq. (4)

so that norm of residual vector $\mathbf{r}_{i+1}^{(k)}$ converges to 0 rapidly. Here $\omega > 0$.

Under-relaxation method when $0 < \omega < 1$

Over-relaxation method when $\omega > 1$

Use Eq. (4) and Eq. (1),

$$x_i^{(k)} = (1 - \omega)x_i^{(k-1)} + \frac{\omega}{a_{ii}} \left[b_i - \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \left(a_{ij} x_j^{(k)} \right) - \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \left(a_{ij} x_j^{(k-1)} \right) \right]$$
for $i = 1, 2, \dots n$

$$Eq. (5)$$

Eq. (5) is called the successive relaxation method (SOR).

Matrix form of SOR

Rewrite Eq. (5) as

$$a_{ii}x_{i}^{(k)} + \omega \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \left(a_{ij}x_{j}^{(k)} \right)$$

$$= (1 - \omega)a_{ii}x_{i}^{(k-1)} - \omega \sum_{j=i+1}^{n} \left(a_{ij}x_{j}^{(k-1)} \right) + \omega b_{i}$$

$$(D - \omega L)x^{(k)} = [(1 - \omega)D + \omega U]x^{(k-1)} + \omega b$$

$$\mathbf{x}^{(k)} = (D - \omega L)^{-1}[(1 - \omega)D + \omega U]\mathbf{x}^{(k-1)} + \omega(D - \omega L)^{-1}\mathbf{b}$$

Define $T_{\omega} = (D - \omega L)^{-1}[(1 - \omega)D + \omega U], \mathbf{c}_{\omega} = \omega(D - \omega L)^{-1}\mathbf{b}$
SOR can be written as $\mathbf{x}^{(k)} = T_{\omega}\mathbf{x}^{(k-1)} + \mathbf{c}_{\omega}$.

Example Use SOR with $\omega = 1.25$ to solve $4x_1 + 3x_2 = 24$ $3x_1 + 4x_2 - x_3 = 30$ $-x_2 + 4x_3 = -24$

with $\mathbf{x}^{(0)} = (1,1,1)^t$.

Theorem 7.24(Kahan) If $a_{ii} \neq 0$, for each i = 1, 2, ..., n, then $\rho(T_{\omega}) \geq |\omega - 1|$. This implies that the SOR method can converge only if $0 < \omega < 2$.

Theorem 7.25(Ostrowski-Reich) If A is a positive definite matrix and $0 < \omega < 2$, then the SOR method converges for any choice of initial approximate vector $\mathbf{x}^{(0)}$.

Theorem 7.26 If A is a positive definite and tridiagonal, then $\rho(T_g) = [\rho(T_i)]^2 < 1$, and the optimal choice of ω for the SOR method is

$$\omega = \frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{1 - [\rho(T_j)]^2}}$$

With this choice of ω , we have $\rho(T_{\omega}) = \omega - 1$.

Definition 7.12 If A is an $n \times n$ matrix, the **characteristic polynomial** of A is

$$p(\lambda) = \det(A - \lambda I).$$

Definition 7.13 If $p(\lambda)$ is the characteristic polynomial of the matrix A, the zeros of $p(\lambda)$ are **eigenvalues** of the matrix A. If λ is an eigenvalue of A and $x \neq 0$ satisfies $(A - \lambda I)x = 0$, then x is an **eigenvector** corresponding to λ .

Definition 7.14 The **spectral radius** $\rho(A)$ of a matrix A is defined by $\rho(A) = \max |\lambda|$, where λ is an eigenvalue of A.

Theorem 7.15. If A is an $n \times n$ matrix, then

- (i) $||A||_2 = [\rho(A^t A)]^{1/2}$
- (ii) $\rho(A) \leq ||A||$, for any induced matrix norm $||\cdot||$.

Example Find the optimal choice of ω for the SOR method for the matrix

$$A = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 3 & 0 \\ 3 & 4 & -1 \\ 0 & -1 & 4 \end{bmatrix}$$

Soln:

$$D = \begin{bmatrix} 4 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 4 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 4 \end{bmatrix}, \ L = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 \\ -3 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, \ U = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -3 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

$$T_{j} = D^{-1}(L+U) = \begin{bmatrix} \frac{1}{4} & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \frac{1}{4} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \frac{1}{4} \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -3 & 0 \\ -3 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 & -\frac{3}{4} & 0 \\ -\frac{3}{4} & 0 & \frac{1}{4} \\ 0 & \frac{1}{4} & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Compute eigenvalues of T_i .

$$\det(T_j - \lambda I) = 0$$

So
$$-\lambda(\lambda^2 - 0.625) = 0$$
. $\Rightarrow \lambda_1 = 0$, $\lambda_2 = \sqrt{0.625}$, $\lambda_3 = -\sqrt{0.625}$.
Thus $\rho(T_j) = \sqrt{0.625}$. And $\omega = \frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{1 - [\rho(T_j)]^2}} = \frac{2}{1 + \sqrt{1 - 0.625}} \approx 1.24$

7.5 Error Bounds and Iterative Refinement

Motivation. Residual vector $\mathbf{r} = \mathbf{b} - A\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}$ can fail to provide accurate measurement on convergence

Example Ax = b given by

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1.0001 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 3.0001 \end{bmatrix}$$

has the unique solution $\mathbf{x} = (1,1)^t$ Determine the residual vector for approximation $\tilde{\mathbf{x}} = (3, -0.0001)^t$

Solution
$$r = b - A\tilde{x} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 3.0001 \end{bmatrix} - \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1.0001 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ -0.0001 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0.0002 \\ 0 \end{bmatrix}$$

Theorem 7.27 Suppose that \tilde{x} is an approximation to the solution of Ax = b, A is a nonsingular matrix, and r is the residual vector for \tilde{x} . Then for any natural norm,

$$||x-\widetilde{x}|| \leq ||r|| \cdot ||A^{-1}||$$

and if $x \neq 0$ and $b \neq 0$

$$\frac{||x - \widetilde{x}||}{||x||} \le ||A|| \cdot ||A^{-1}|| \frac{||r||}{||b||}$$

Condition Numbers

Definition 7.28 The **condition number** of the nonsingular matrix A relative to the norm $||\cdot||$ is

$$K(A) = ||A|| \cdot ||A^{-1}||$$

Remark: Condition number of identity matrix K(I) = 1 relative to $||\cdot||_{\infty}$

A matrix A is **well-conditioned** if K(A) is close to 1, and is **ill-conditioned** if K(A) is significantly greater than 1.

Example Determine the condition number for $A = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1.0001 & 2 \end{bmatrix}$.

Solution
$$A^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix} -10000 & 10000 \\ 5000.5 & -5000 \end{bmatrix}$$
.
 $||A^{-1}||_{\infty} = 20000$
 $K(A) = ||A||_{\infty} \cdot ||A^{-1}||_{\infty} = 3.0001 \cdot 20000 = 60002$.

Significance of condition number Well-conditioned Ax = b implies a small residual error corresponds to accurate approximate solution.

Estimate condition number

Assume that *t*-digit arithmetic and Gaussian elimination are used to solve Ax = b, the residual vector r for the approximation \tilde{x} has

$$||\boldsymbol{r}|| \approx 10^{-t} ||\boldsymbol{A}|| \cdot ||\widetilde{\boldsymbol{x}}||$$

Consider to solve Ay = r with t-digit arithmetic. Let \tilde{y} be approximation to Ay = r

$$\widetilde{\boldsymbol{y}} \approx A^{-1} \boldsymbol{r} = A^{-1} (\boldsymbol{b} - A \widetilde{\boldsymbol{x}}) = A^{-1} \boldsymbol{b} - A^{-1} A \widetilde{\boldsymbol{x}} = \boldsymbol{x} - \widetilde{\boldsymbol{x}}$$

This implies $x \approx \tilde{x} + \tilde{y}$.

$$||\widetilde{\boldsymbol{y}}|| \approx ||A^{-1}\boldsymbol{r}|| \le ||A^{-1}|| \cdot ||\boldsymbol{r}|| \approx ||A^{-1}|| (10^{-t}||A|| \cdot ||\widetilde{\boldsymbol{x}}||)$$
$$= 10^{-t} ||\widetilde{\boldsymbol{x}}|| K(A)$$

Therefore

$$K(A) \approx \frac{\left||\widetilde{\mathbf{y}}|\right|}{\left||\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}|\right|} 10^t.$$

Example Estimate condition number for system $\begin{bmatrix} 3.3330 & 15920 & -10.333 \\ 2.2220 & 16.710 & 9.6120 \\ 1.5611 & 5.1791 & 1.6852 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 15913 \\ 28.544 \\ 8.4254 \end{bmatrix}$ solved by 5-digit rounding arithmetic. The exact solution is $\mathbf{x} = (1,1,1)^t$

Solution Use Gaussian elimination to solve with 5-digit rounding arithmetic gives

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{x}} = (1.2001, 0.99991, 0.92538)^t$$

The corresponding residual vector $r = (-0.00518, 0.27412914, -0.186160367)^t$ Solving Ay = r by Gaussian elimination gives $\tilde{y} = r$

Solving Ay = r by Gaussian elimination gives $\tilde{y} = (-0.20008, 8.9987 \times 10^{-5}, 0.074607)^t$

$$K(A) \approx \frac{\left|\left|\widetilde{\mathbf{y}}\right|\right|_{\infty}}{\left|\left|\widetilde{\mathbf{x}}\right|\right|_{\infty}} 10^{t} = \frac{0.20008}{1.2001} 10^{5} = 16672$$

How does the round-off errors affect a system like $\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1.0001 & 2 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 3 \\ 3.0001 \end{bmatrix}$?

Let the $(A + \delta A)x = b + \delta b$ be the perturbed system associated with Ax = b.

Theorem 7.29. Suppose A is nonsingular and $\left| |\delta A| \right| < \frac{1}{||A^{-1}||}$. The solution $\widetilde{\boldsymbol{x}}$ to $(A + \delta A)\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{b} + \delta \boldsymbol{b}$ approximates the solution \boldsymbol{x} of $A\boldsymbol{x} = \boldsymbol{b}$ with the error estimate $\frac{||x - \widetilde{x}||}{||x||} \le \frac{K(A)||A||}{||A|| - K(A)||\delta A||} \left(\frac{||\delta b||}{||b||} + \frac{||\delta A||}{||A||} \right)$.