

```
> restart;  
> Digits :=80;#To see things clearly  
Digits := 80  
> f := x-> x^3-5;
```

Digits := 80

$$f := x \rightarrow x^3 - 5$$

bisection problem

```
> f(1);  
f(2);
```

> #different signs, so bisection will work.

Below, I should use a while loop, so we can halt if $f(x[j])$ is close enough to 0.

```
> a:=1.0;
b:=2.0;
for j from 1 to 3 do
c:= (a+b)/2;
print(j,c);
if (f(c)*f(a) < 0) then
b:=c; else
a:=b;
b:= c;
end if;
end do;
```

$a := 1.0$

$b := 2.0$

Since $2^{-N} < 0.001$ for the first time when $N=10$

```
> a:=1.0;
b:=2.0;
for j from 1 to 10 do
c:= (a+b)/2;
print(j,c);
if (f(c)*f(a) < 0) then
  b:=c: else
a:=b:
b:= c:
end if:
end do:
```

$a := 1.0$

$b := 2.0$

= > abs

A smaller error than expected (unusual for the bisection method).

Newton method problem

```
> N:=6;#number of times we iterate
```

(7)

```

> Newton := proc(f,v)
local J,x;
J:=unapply(diff(f(x) ,x) ,x) ;
v - f(v)/J(v) ;
end proc;
> x:=2:
> for j from 1 to N do
> x:= evalf(Newton(f,x)):
n||j:=x: #sets n_j = x
> print(x):
od:
```

> abs

(1.7099759466766969893531088725438601098680551105430549928019343155635451047
310828- $\text{evalf}(5^{(1/3)})$);

$$6.84190726081192491842269096 \cdot 10^{-53} \quad (9)$$

The bisection method yielded a better estimate than expected, but Newton is much better (as expected).

secant method problem

```

> v:=1;
w:=2;
> for j from 1 to N do
J:=(f(w)-f(v))/(w-v);
x:= evalf(w - f(w)/J):
s||j:=x;#sets s_j equal to x
print(x);
v:=w;
w:=x;
od;

```

$v := 1$
 $w := 2$

$$1.7099759190215602918206135076340624973551675978270698679646611467147159423651228$$

$$1.7099759466770983415462122521701384907998743111728474961008554223090830814159916$$

(10)

```
> for j from 1 to N do abs(n||j-s||j);od;
0.1785714285714285714285714285714285714285714285714285714285714285714285714285714
0.0229862645695220763464621517396767624247151089735257160188916330863555613328134
0.0019065095136430680817018645410824713920140318917819955778930383851882397582669
0.0000248162198656558757995002193666279467464012153359942117135328762261670723538
2.76551366975324953757262188530993681503963937555239673515483309011832245  $10^{-8}$ 
4.013521931033796262783809318192006297925032989211067455379766849088  $10^{-13}$ 
```

(11)

```
> Digits:=80;
for j from 1 to N-1 do
print(j,log(abs(evalf(5^(1/3))-n||(j+1)))/log(abs(evalf(5^(1/3))-n||(j))), 
log(abs(evalf(5^(1/3))-s||(j+1)))/log(abs(evalf(5^(1/3))-s||(j)))):
od:
```

Digits := 80

```
1, 2.1762648261162657994836028705626004001515721973746319801422712305445639192737767,
1.9292169143923618675159665329883006104673614056047194986338664050668318152443395
2, 2.0766992241305279558261059682958794686120881296998298991939410861021482329440046,
1.6422587235624132001400415769038669049711407337259669537147715823374677239291713
3, 2.0368845786177201643433027213517342823001663930881204640805714142862825975064152,
1.6933290562139756045847601065626463256845515387501201595489199135768128307548455
4, 2.0181083175513999657807452250455946505532170328478572611953169455476645267500906,
1.6412139622049079394681573766417832831987277383388558160683220175636156786855537
5, 2.0089729165644426212880209839136974408228363868709649811331905974255175264156207,
```

1.6401305448377699785204603038146588235500597970556033652807273839511357744836501

(12)

You can clearly see the quadratic convergence of Newton's method, but the $(1+\sqrt{5})/2 = 1.64..$ convergence of the secant method is not there yet!

```
> evalf[5] ((1+sqrt(5))/2);
```

1.6180

(13)